# Rubric (Fall 2011)

# **Senior Project**

# Assessment of Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science of the

# School of Computing and Information Sciences Florida International University

The School of Computing and Information Sciences evaluates the Senior Projects of its graduating seniors for the purpose of assessing the level of attainment of the Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science program.

Your responses to this survey will be used solely for the purpose of assessing the Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science program of the School of Computing and Information Sciences at FIU. This survey is expressly NOT for assessment of student performance in the SCIS Senior Project course for assignment of letter grade, nor for assessment of the instructor(s).

For each program outcome, you are provided with a check-list of 7 or more criteria that evidence attainment of that outcome. Please check all criteria that are presented in this project. You may include additional criteria that are not explicitly listed; if so, please record the additional criteria in the spaces provided. Unless noted otherwise, the number of checked criteria, up to a maximum of 5, should be recorded as your rating of attainment of that outcome evidenced in the project.

(	D. Jallenia	
Project Title	15e har 104 xxxx	
Semester & Year	7au 2011	χ/.
Moderator (Faculty / Indu	stry Sponsor): Giri	/4
Evaluators:		

Studen	nt Outcome (a): Demonstrate proficiency in the foundation areas of Computer Science
includi	ing mathematics, discrete structures, logic and the theory of algorithms
	Project incorporates elements of mathematical reasoning or proof (Lemma, Theorem, Propositional Logic, First Order Logic, Mathematical Induction)
	Project utilizes elements of discrete mathematics (Set Theory, Boolean Algebras, Combinatorics, Graph Theory)
	Project utilizes some statistical procedure(s) to represent or summarize test data (Mean, Standard Deviation, Stem Plot/Histogram, Box Plot/Percentile-Graph)
<del></del>	Project utilizes some statistical measure(s) of system behavior or performance (Probability Distributions, Confidence Intervals, Hypothesis Testing)
<u>Ø</u>	Project design utilizes finite state diagrams to model system behavior
	Project utilizes some aspect(s) of formal computer science (Automata, Turing Machines, Recursive Function Theory, Recursive Unsolvability)
	Project utilizes some technique(s) of numerical analysis (Error Estimation, Interpolation, Numerical Calculus, Linear Systems, Matrix Algebra)
	OTHER:
	OTHER:

Student Outcome (b): Demonstrate proficiency in various areas of Computer Science including data structures and algorithms, concepts of programming languages and computer systems.

Data Structures & Algorithms
Project utilizes an advanced data structure, (search tree hash table, priority queue, etc.)
Project utilizes some graph algorithm, (shortest path, minimum spanning tree, etc.)
Project documents runtime analysis of selected algorithms
Concepts of Programming Languages
Project utilizes knowledge of programming language syntax (Context-Free Grammars, Parse Trees, Ambiguity, Recursive Descent)
Project utilizes knowledge of programming language semantics  (Natural Semantics, Interpreters, Expressions, L- and R- Value, Environments)
Project demonstrates familiarity with design issues such as scoping rules, dynamic type checking, static type checking
Computer Systems (Database)
Project utilizes or designs an appropriate database management system
Project utilizes conceptual and/or relational schema
Project utilizes a database query language such as SQL
Computer Systems (Operating Systems)
Project implementation utilizes knowledge of memory management
Project implementation utilizes knowledge of process synchronization
Project documents analysis of tradeoffs in selection of system characteristics
OTHER:
OTHER:Page 3 of 10

	nt Outcome (c): Demonstrate proficiency in problem solving and application of software
<u>engine</u>	ering techniques.
<u> </u>	Project demonstrates knowledge of the Software Development Life Cycle
<u>/</u>	Project deliverables include Project Specification
<u> </u>	Project deliverables include Feasibility Study and/or Project Plan
_	Project deliverables include Requirements Documentation
<u> </u>	Project deliverables include Design Documentation
<u>/</u>	Project documents testing and/or evaluation of the implementation
	Project incorporates system walkthroughs
,	
	OTHER:
	OTHER

- 1	t Outcome (d): Demonstrate mastery of at least one modern programming language time
Studen	t Outcome (u). Demonstrate
profici	ency in at least one other.
	Project is implemented using an appropriate high level language
<u>\( \)</u>	Project implementation is reasonably efficient rather than "brute force"
<u>/</u>	Project implementation is modular and/or re-usable
<u> </u>	Project implementation uses a modern API or Tool-Kit
	Project implementation utilizes recursion
	Project implementation utilizes some advanced features, e.g. polymorphism
	A project sub-system or module utilizes an appropriate programming language other than the primary implementation language, e.g. SQL, ML, assembly language
	OTHER:
	OTHER:

# Student Outcome (e): Demonstrate understanding of the social and ethical concerns of the practicing computer scientist Project documents sources and references Project identifies and addresses any relevant social issues Project identifies and addresses any relevant ethical issues Project identifies and addresses relevant legal issues Project identifies and addresses any relevant privacy issues Project documents anticipated impact on users/clients Project documents and addresses any anticipated technology impact issues OTHER: OTHER:

# Student Outcome (f): Demonstrate the ability to work cooperatively in teams

Student Outcome (m)	
To be completed by an evaluator	
Project presentation(s) included all team members equally	
Project team activity is appropriately and adequately documented	
To be completed from the data obtained from team members' peer evaluations. Each team member rates each of the other members of their team individually of listed below on a scale of 1 to 5. The mean of all ratings for each criterion is recorded to the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the rubric item is checked only it	on each criterion corded.  the 2 criteria.
Team members' roles were clearly defined and executed	
Team members roles were clearly defined	Mean Score
<u>Criterion</u>	
1: Team members had clear understanding of expectations	
1: Team members had Clear understanding of 1.  2: Team members maximized the use of their individual skill sets	
Project team set out and followed a schedule for timely completion	Tat Coord
	Mean Score
Criterion  3: Team members complied with mechanisms to track progress	
3: Team members compiled with meeticans.	
3: Team members completed with meets 4: Team members completed assignments in a timely fashion	
Project team negotiated consensus when needed	Mean Score
	IVICATI SCOTE
1 are should respect for other team members opinion	
5: Team members snowed respectful 6: Team members were able to negotiate and compromise	
i lawass aquitable participation by team memb	oers
Project completion evidences equitable participation by team memb	Mean Score
C the sign	
7: Team members contributed ideas and viewpoints	
8: Team members did their fair share of the work	
Team members shared responsibility for success and failure	
	Mean Score
Criterion  9: Team members actively sought & shared information from each oth	ier
9: Team members actively sought & sharping requirements	
9: Team members actively sought a small of the sought and the sought and the sought actively sought a small of the sought actively sought a small of the sought actively sough	

# Program Outcome (g): Demonstrate effective communication skills

## Written presentation

\_X\_\_ Completeness Project reports document all essential project features

\_X\_\_ Organization Project reports are well organized and written

# <u>Oral Presentation</u> For each rubric item, check only if the mean score >= 3.0

Domain Knowledge: All students are knowledgeable of all project features

SCORE→	4: Answered fu	ılly   <b>3</b> : Answer	ed but	2: AI	nswered	1	: Unable to
CRITERIA	with elaborati	on no elaboi	ration	basic	questions	ansı	wer questions
Roberto A	Jorge C	Osvaldo G	Da	niel F			
Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Presenter 3	Presen	ter 4	Presente	r 5	mean
3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5				3.5

Organization: Key points presented in logical sequence which audience can follow

SCORE→	4: Clear, logical,	3: Information in	2: Not always	1: Very poor
CRITERIA	interesting flow	logical sequence	easy to follow	sequencing

Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Presenter 3	Presenter 4	Presenter 5	mean
3.8	3.6	3.3	3.5		3.55

Presentation Aids: Presentations utilized good quality slides and presentation aids

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SCORE→	4: Visuals explain	3: Visuals relate	2: Visuals not	1: None or
CRITERIA	& reinforce topics	to topics	well related	excessive

Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Presenter 3	Presenter 4	Presenter 5	mean
3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2		3.2

Elocution: Presenters spoke clearly, audibly

SCORE→	4: Clear, audible,	3: Clear, most of	2: Unclear, poor	1: Audience
CRITERIA	all audience hear	audience hear	enunciation	unable to hear

Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Presenter 3	Presenter 4	Presenter 5	mean
3.5	3.6	3.5	3.4		3.5

Audience Contact: Presenters spoke directly to audience

SCORE→	4: Constant eye	3: Occasionally	2: Mostly reads	1: Almost no eye
CRITERIA	contact	reads from notes	from notes	contact

Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Presenter 3	Presenter 4	Presenter 5	mean
3.5	4	4	3.5		3.75

Program Outcome (h): Have experience with contemporary environments and tools necessary for the practice of computing

5: Expert, 4: Advanced, 3: Competent, 2: Intermediate, 1: Novice Competency Rating Scale Check-mark is earned if the average team competency rating is 2 or higher.

resentations use conte	mporary presentation and demonstr	Competency
<u>Domain</u>	Software / Tool	4.
Presentation		
Demonstration		
	veloped using modern document pre	paration tools
	/eloped using modern details	Competency
<u>Domain</u>	Software / Tool	4
Document Editing		
Diagramming		
	modern programming language(s) a	nd contemporary IDE or
mplementation uses a	modern programming language ()	Competency
<u>Domain</u>	Software/Tool  P. Harh & Java.	4
Programming Language	Python & Java.	
IDE or OS		
	Manuarcian control software are e	mployed
Project management a	and/or version control software are e	Competency
Domain	Software / Tool	
Project Management		
	Liveroftware	
Design phase utilizes	modeling software	Competency
Domain	Software / Tool	
UML Modeling		
	datahase manas	rement system
Implementation inclu	ides a contemporary database manag	Competency
Domain	Software / 1001	4.
DBMS	PostGrei	
	Les aromming (serve	r web-page)
Implementation incl	udes web-based programming (serve	Competency
	Software / Tool	4
<u>Domain</u> Web Server		
<u>Domain</u> Web Server		
<u>Domain</u> Web Server	alidated using contemporary validation	
Domain Web Server Implementation is v	alidated using contemporary validation   Software / Tool	
Domain Web Server Implementation is v	alidated using contemporary validation   Software / Tool	
Domain Web Server Implementation is v	alidated using contemporary validation Software / Tool	
Domain Web Server Implementation is v	alidated using contemporary validation   Software / Tool	

### **ABET Student Outcome**

The program must enable students to attain, by the time of graduation:
(j) An ability to apply mathematical foundations, algorithmic principles, and computer science theory in the modeling and design of computer-based systems in a way that demonstrates comprehension of the tradeoffs involved in design choices. [CS]

<u>Please indicate how this project "demonstrates comprehension of the tradeoffs involved in design choices":</u>

Team found the Galaxy tool/subsystem on their own

(for workflow part of project)

Team also incorporated than underlying Chado schema

on database.

Team incorporated a variety of existing tools &

Connected it to Galaxy/Chado/web server in a

Secure way.

They cansidued tradeoffs related to time of development

They cansidued tradeoffs related to time of development