Senior Project

Assessment of Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science of the

School of Computing and Information Sciences Florida International University

The School of Computing and Information Sciences evaluates the Senior Projects of its graduating seniors for the purpose of assessing the level of attainment of the Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science program.

Your responses to this survey will be used solely for the purpose of assessing the Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science program of the School of Computing and Information Sciences at FIU. This survey is expressly NOT for assessment of student performance in the SCIS Senior Project course for assignment of letter grade, nor for assessment of the instructor(s).

For each program outcome, you are provided with a check-list of 7 or more criteria that evidence attainment of that outcome. Please check all criteria that are presented in this project. You may include additional criteria that are not explicitly listed; if so, please record the additional criteria in the spaces provided. Unless noted otherwise, the number of checked criteria, up to a maximum of 5, should be recorded as your rating of attainment of that outcome evidenced in the project.

Project Title Visual Designer for Cloud Solutions
Semester & Year Fall 2011 Moderator (Faculty) Industry Sponsor): Massub Sadjadi
Evaluators: XABRIEL 1. COLLAZO-MOST CX
DAVID VILLEGAS

	nt Outcome (a): Demonstrate proficiency in the foundation areas of Computer science
includ	ing mathematics, discrete structures, logic and the theory of algorithms
	Project incorporates elements of mathematical reasoning or proof (Lemma, Theorem, Propositional Logic, First Order Logic, Mathematical Induction)
X	Project utilizes elements of discrete mathematics (Set Theory, Boolean Algebras, Combinatorics, Graph Theory)
	Project utilizes some statistical procedure(s) to represent or summarize test data (Mean, Standard Deviation, Stem Plot/Histogram, Box Plot/Percentile-Graph)
	Project utilizes some statistical measure(s) of system behavior or performance (Probability Distributions, Confidence Intervals, Hypothesis Testing)
X	Project design utilizes finite state diagrams to model system behavior
	Project utilizes some aspect(s) of formal computer science (Automata, Turing Machines, Recursive Function Theory, Recursive Unsolvability)
	Project utilizes some technique(s) of numerical analysis (Error Estimation, Interpolation, Numerical Calculus, Linear Systems, Matrix Algebra)
	OTHER:
	OTHER:

Student Outcome (b): Demonstrate proficiency in various areas of Computer Science including data structures and algorithms, concepts of programming languages and computer systems.

Data Structures & Algorithms
Project utilizes an advanced data structure, (search tree, hash table, priority queue, etc.)
Project utilizes some graph algorithm, (shortest path, minimum spanning tree, etc.)
Y Project documents runtime analysis of selected algorithms
Concepts of Programming Languages
Project utilizes knowledge of programming language syntax (Context-Free Grammars, Parse Trees, Ambiguity, Recursive Descent)
Project utilizes knowledge of programming language semantics (Natural Semantics, Interpreters, Expressions, L- and R- Value, Environments)
Project demonstrates familiarity with design issues such as scoping rules, dynamic type checking, static type checking
Computer Systems (Database)
Project utilizes or designs an appropriate database management system
Project utilizes conceptual and/or relational schema
Project utilizes a database query language such as SQL
Computer Systems (Operating Systems)
Project implementation utilizes knowledge of memory management
Project implementation utilizes knowledge of process synchronization
Project documents analysis of tradeoffs in selection of system characteristics
OTHER: Praject demonstrates tamiliary with Cloud OTHER: Computing concepts

Studer	at Outcome (c): Demonstrate proficiency in problem solving and application of software							
engine	ering techniques.							
X	Project demonstrates knowledge of the Software Development Life Cycle							
	Project deliverables include Project Specification							
χ	Project deliverables include Feasibility Study and/or Project Plan							
\angle	Project deliverables include Requirements Documentation							
\times	Project deliverables include Design Documentation							
\preceq	Project documents testing and/or evaluation of the implementation							
-	Project incorporates system walkthroughs							
	OTHER:							
	OTHER:							

Student Outcome (d): Demonstrate mastery of at least one modern programming language and proficiency in at least one other.

Project is implemented using an appropriate high level language

Project implementation is reasonably efficient rather than "brute force"

Project implementation is modular and/or re-usable

Project implementation uses a modern API or Tool-Kit

Project implementation utilizes recursion

Project implementation utilizes some advanced features, e.g. polymorphism

A project sub-system or module utilizes an appropriate programming language other than the primary implementation language, e.g. SQL, ML, assembly language

OTHER:

OTHER:

Studer	nt Outcome (e): Demonstrate understanding of the social and ethical concerns of the
	ring computer scientist
	Project documents sources and references
	Project identifies and addresses any relevant social issues
	Project identifies and addresses any relevant ethical issues
λ	Project identifies and addresses relevant legal issues
	Project identifies and addresses any relevant privacy issues
	Project documents anticipated impact on users/clients
<u> </u>	Project documents and addresses any anticipated technology impact issues
	OTHER:
	OTHER:

Student Outcome (f): Demonstrate the ability to work cooperatively in teams

To be completed by an evaluator

1	1
/	1
	2
-	_

Project presentation(s) included all team members equally

Project team activity is appropriately and adequately documented

To be completed from the data obtained from team members' peer evaluations Each team member rates each of the other members of their team individually on each criterion listed below on a scale of 1 to 5. The mean of all ratings for each criterion is recorded. The rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the 2 criteria.

Team members' roles were clearly defined and executed

Criterion	Mean Score
1: Team members had clear understanding of expectations	
2: Team members maximized the use of their individual skill sets	

Project team set out and followed a schedule for timely completion

Criterion	Mean Score
3: Team members complied with mechanisms to track progress	
4: Team members completed assignments in a timely fashion	

Project team negotiated consensus when needed

110ject team negotiated constraints	Mean Score
Criterion	IVICALI SCOLE
5: Team members showed respect for other team members opinions	
6: Team members were able to negotiate and compromise	

Project completion evidences equitable participation by team members

Criterion	Mean Score
7: Team members contributed ideas and viewpoints	
8: Team members did their fair share of the work	

Team members shared responsibility for success and failure

Criterion	Mean Score
9: Team members actively sought & shared information from each other	· ·
10: Team members were adaptable to changing requirements	

Program Outcome (g): Demonstrate effective communication skills

Organization Project reports document all essential project features Organization Project reports are well organized and written Oral Presentation For each rubric item, check only if the mean score >= 3.0 Domain Knowledge: All students are knowledgeable of all project features SCORE→ 4: Answered fully 3: Answered but 2: Answered 1: Unable to basic questions answer questions Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Organization: Key points presented in logical sequence which audience can follow SCORE→ 4: Clear, logical, interesting flow logical sequence easy to follow sequencing Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Presentation Aids: Presentations utilized good quality slides and presentation aids SCORE→ 4: Visuals explain 3: Visuals relate 2: Visuals not 1: None or excessive Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenters spoke directly to audience SCORE→ 4: Constant eye 3: Occasionally 2: Mostly reads 1: Almost no eye contact Fresenter 4 Contact Presenter 5 From notes 1: Almost no eye contact	Writte	n presentation								
Oral Presentation For each rubric item, check only if the mean score >= 3.0 Domain Knowledge: All students are knowledgeable of all project features SCORE → 4: Answered fully and with elaboration 3: Answered but all 2: Answered but answer questions Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Organization: Key points presented in logical sequence which audience can follow SCORE → 4: Clear, logical, interesting flow 3: Information in logical sequence 2: Not always as yellow sequencing 1: Very poor sequencing Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Presentation Aids: Presentations utilized good quality slides and presentation aids SCORE → 4: Visuals explain CRITERIA & reinforce topics 3: Visuals relate 2: Visuals not 1: None or well related excessive Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocut	\mathcal{X}	Completeness Project reports document all essential project features								
Domain Knowledge: All students are knowledgeable of all project features SCORE→ 4: Answered fully with elaboration 3: Answered but 2: Answered 1: Unable to answer questions	$\overline{\times}$	Organization	ion Project reports are well organized and written							
SCORE→ 4: Answered fully with elaboration	Oral Pr	resentation F	or each rubric	item	, check onl	y if the	mean s	core >= 3	.0	
CRITERIA with elaboration no elaboration basic questions answer questions	Domain Knowledge: All students are knowledgeable of all project features									
Organization: Key points presented in logical sequence which audience can follow SCORE→ 4: Clear, logical, 3: Information in 2: Not always 1: Very poor sequencing Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Presentation Aids: Presentations utilized good quality slides and presentation aids SCORE→ 4: Visuals explain 3: Visuals relate 2: Visuals not 1: None or CRITERIA 8: reinforce topics to topics well related excessive Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter spoke directly to audience SCORE→ 4: Constant eye 3: Occasionally 2: Mostly reads 1: Almost no eye					3: Answered bu		2: Answered		1: Unable to	
SCORE→ 4: Clear, logical, interesting flow logical sequence easy to follow sequencing Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Presentation Aids: Presentations utilized good quality slides and presentation aids SCORE→ 4: Visuals explain CRITERIA & reinforce topics to topics well related excessive Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean		Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Pre	senter 3	Present	ter 4	Presenter	5	mean
SCORE→ 4: Clear, logical, interesting flow logical sequence easy to follow sequencing Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Presentation Aids: Presentations utilized good quality slides and presentation aids SCORE→ 4: Visuals explain CRITERIA & reinforce topics to topics well related excessive Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean										
SCORE→ 4: Clear, logical, interesting flow logical sequence easy to follow sequencing Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Presentation Aids: Presentations utilized good quality slides and presentation aids SCORE→ 4: Visuals explain CRITERIA & reinforce topics to topics well related excessive Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean		Organization: k	(ey points pres	ente	d in logical	sequen	ice whic	h audien	ce car	follow
Presentation Aids: Presentations utilized good quality slides and presentation aids SCORE→ 4: Visuals explain 3: Visuals relate 2: Visuals not 1: None or CRITERIA & reinforce topics to topics well related excessive Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenters spoke clearly, audibly SCORE→ 4: Clear, audible, 3: Clear, most of 2: Unclear, poor 1: Audience CRITERIA all audience hear audience hear enunciation unable to hear Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenters spoke directly to audience SCORE→ 4: Constant eye 3: Occasionally 2: Mostly reads 1: Almost no eye		SCORE→	4: Clear, logica	1,	3: Information in		2: Not always		1: Very poor	
SCORE→ 4: Visuals explain 3: Visuals relate to topics well related excessive Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Elocution: Presenters spoke clearly, audibly SCORE→ 4: Clear, audible, all audience hear audience hear enunciation unable to hear Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenters spoke directly to audience SCORE→ 4: Constant eye 3: Occasionally 2: Mostly reads 1: Almost no eye		Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Pre	esenter 3 Present		ter 4 Presenter		r 5	mean
Elocution: Presenters spoke clearly, audibly SCORE→ 4: Clear, audible, audience hear audience hear audience hear audience hear audience hear Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean Audience Contact: Presenters spoke directly to audience SCORE→ 4: Constant eye 3: Occasionally 2: Mostly reads 1: Almost no eye	SCORE→ 4: Visuals explain 3: Visuals relate 2: Visuals not							1	1: None or	
SCORE→ 4: Clear, audible, all audience hear audience fear		Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Pre	esenter 3 Presenter 4		iter 4	Presenter 5		mean
Audience Contact: Presenters spoke directly to audience SCORE 3: Occasionally 2: Mostly reads 1: Almost no eye		SCORE→	4: Clear, audib	3: Clear, most of 2: Unc			7 1			
SCORE→ 4: Constant eye 3: Occasionally 2: Mostly reads 1: Almost no eye		Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Pr	esenter 3	Preser	nter 4	Presente	r 5 mean	
	SCORE→ 4: Constant eye 3: Occasionally 2: Mostly reads 1: A									
Presenter 1 Presenter 2 Presenter 3 Presenter 4 Presenter 5 mean		Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Pr	esenter 3	Preser	nter 4	Presente	er 5	mean

<u>Program Outcome</u> (h): Have experience with contemporary environments and tools necessary for the practice of computing

<u>Competency Rating Scale</u> **5**: Expert, **4**: Advanced, **3**: Competent, **2**: Intermediate, **1**: Novice **Check-mark** is earned if the average team competency rating is **2** or higher.

Oomain Presentation Demonstration	The case A	
	lower point	3
Jamonetralion	1000 1 1000	
rainst artifacts are de	eveloped using modern document preparati	on tools
	Software / Tool	Competency
Domain	Yord	5
Document Editing		
Diagramming		
l tables uses	a modern programming language(s) and co	ntemporary IDE o
	Software / Tool	Competency
<u>Domain</u>		4
Programming Languag	e JAVA	3
IDE or OS	SCHP56	
	1/ control coftware are employ	ved.
	and/or version control software are employ	Competency
Domain	Software / Tool	<u> </u>
Project Management	N/A	
Design phase utilizes	modeling software	Competency
Domain	Software / Tool	Competency
UML Modeling	Sarlell	9
		t to
Implementation inclu	ides a contemporary database managemen	Competency
Domain	Software / Tool	Competency
DBMS	VA	
		,
Implementation incl	udes web-based programming (server, web	-page)
Domain	Software / Tool	Competency
Web Server	GUT + Apacho	4
Implementation is V	alidated using contemporary validation/test	ing software
	Software / Tool	Competency
<u>Domain</u>		
Testing		
Other:		

ABET Student Outcome

The program must enable students to attain, by the time of graduation: (j) An ability to apply mathematical foundations, algorithmic principles, and computer science theory in the modeling and design of computer-based systems in a way that demonstrates comprehension of the tradeoffs involved in design choices. [CS]

<u>Please indicate how this project "demonstrates comprehension of the tradeoffs involved in design choices":</u>

1) Produced a Fracability Study

2) Chose an appropriate system
architecture for the implementation

3) Included a time complexity
analysis of a himplemented
algorithm.