

COT 5407: Introduction to Algorithms

Giri Narasimhan

ECS 254A; Phone: x3748

giri@cis.fiu.edu

<http://www.cis.fiu.edu/~giri/teach/5407S17.html>

<https://moodle.cis.fiu.edu/v3.1/course/view.php?id=1494>

Dynamic Programming Features

- Identification of **subproblems**
- **Recurrence relation** for solution of subproblems
- **Overlapping** subproblems (sometimes)
- Identification of a **hierarchy/ordering** of subproblems
- Use of table to store solutions of subproblems (**MEMOIZATION**)
- Optimal Substructure

Longest Common Subsequence

$S_1 =$ CORIANDER

CORIANDER

$S_2 =$ CREDITORS

CREDITORS

Longest Common Subsequence($S_1[1..9]$, $S_2[1..9]$) = CRIR

Subproblems:

- $LCS[S_1[1..i], S_2[1..j]]$, for all i and j [BETTER]

• Recurrence Relation:

- $LCS[i,j] = LCS[i-1, j-1] + 1$, if $S_1[i] = S_2[j]$

$LCS[i,j] = \max \{ LCS[i-1, j], LCS[i, j-1] \}$, otherwise

• Table ($m \times n$ table)

• Hierarchy of Solutions?

LCS Problem

LCS_Length (X, Y)

1. $m \leftarrow \text{length}[X]$
2. $n \leftarrow \text{Length}[Y]$
3. for $i = 1$ to m
4. do $c[i, 0] \leftarrow 0$
5. for $j = 1$ to n
6. do $c[0, j] \leftarrow 0$
7. for $i = 1$ to m
8. do for $j = 1$ to n
9. do if ($x_i = y_j$)
10. then $c[i, j] \leftarrow c[i-1, j-1] + 1$
11. $b[i, j] \leftarrow \text{“}\bar{\leftarrow}\text{”}$
12. else if $c[i-1, j] < c[i, j-1]$
13. then $c[i, j] \leftarrow c[i-1, j]$
14. $b[i, j] \leftarrow \text{“}\uparrow\text{”}$
15. else
16. $c[i, j] \leftarrow c[i, j-1]$
17. $b[i, j] \leftarrow \text{“}\leftarrow\text{”}$
18. return $c[m, n]$

LCS Example

		H	A	B	I	T	A	T
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0↑	1↖	1←	1←	1←	1↖	1←
L	0	0↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑
P	0	0↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑
H	0	1↖	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑
A	0	1↑	2↖	2←	2←	2←	2↖	2←
B	0	1↑	2↑	3↖	3←	3←	3←	3←
E	0	1↑	2↑	3↑	3↑	3↑	3↑	3↑
T	0	1↑	2↑	3↑	3↑	4↖	4←	4↖

Dynamic Programming vs. Divide-&-conquer

- Divide-&-conquer works best when all subproblems are **independent**. So, pick partition that makes algorithm most efficient & simply combine solutions to solve entire problem.
- Dynamic programming is needed when subproblems are **dependent**; we don't know where to partition the problem.
For example, let $S_1 = \{\text{ALPHABET}\}$, and $S_2 = \{\text{HABITAT}\}$.
Consider the subproblem with $S_1' = \{\text{ALPH}\}$, $S_2' = \{\text{HABI}\}$.
Then, $\underline{LCS(S_1', S_2')} + \underline{LCS(S_1 - S_1', S_2 - S_2')} \neq \underline{LCS(S_1, S_2)}$
- Divide-&-conquer is best suited for the case when no “overlapping subproblems” are encountered.
- In dynamic programming algorithms, we typically solve each subproblem only once and store their solutions. But this is at the cost of space.

Dynamic programming vs Greedy

1. Dynamic Programming solves the sub-problems bottom up. The problem can't be solved until we find all solutions of sub-problems. The solution comes up when the whole problem appears.

Greedy solves the sub-problems from top down. We first need to find the greedy choice for a problem, then reduce the problem to a smaller one. The solution is obtained when the whole problem disappears.

2. Dynamic Programming has to try every possibility before solving the problem. It is much more expensive than greedy. However, there are some problems that greedy can not solve while dynamic programming can. Therefore, we first try greedy algorithm. If it fails then try dynamic programming.

Fractional Knapsack Problem

- Burglar's choices:

Items: x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n

Value: v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n

Max Quantity: q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n

Weight per unit quantity: w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n

Getaway Truck has a weight limit of B .

Burglar can take "fractional" amount of any item.

How can burglar maximize value of the loot?

- Greedy Algorithm works!

Pick the maximum possible quantity of highest value per weight item. Continue until weight limit of truck is reached.

0-1 Knapsack Problem

- Burglar's choices:

Items: x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n

Value: v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n

Weight: w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n

Getaway Truck has a weight limit of B .

Burglar cannot take “fractional” amount of item.

How can burglar maximize value of the loot?

- Greedy Algorithm does not work! Why?
- Need dynamic programming!

0-1 Knapsack Problem

- Subproblems?
 - $V[j, L]$ = Optimal solution for knapsack problem assuming a truck of weight limit L and choice of items from set $\{1, 2, \dots, j\}$.
 - $V[n, B]$ = Optimal solution for original problem
 - $V[1, L]$ = easy to compute for all values of L .
- Table of solutions?
 - $V[1..n, 1..B]$
- Ordering of subproblems?
 - Row-wise
- Recurrence Relation? [Either x_j included or not]
 - $V[j, L] = \max \{ V[j-1, L], v_j + V[j-1, L-w_j] \}$

1-d, 2-d, 3-d Dynamic Programming

- Classification based on the dimension of the table used to store solutions to subproblems.
- **1-dimensional DP**
 - Activity Problem
- **2-dimensional DP**
 - LCS Problem
 - 0-1 Knapsack Problem
 - Matrix-chain multiplication
- **3-dimensional DP**
 - All-pairs shortest paths problem