COT 6405: Analysis of Algorithms Giri NARASIMHAN

www.cs.fiu.edu/~giri/teach/6405F19.html

CAP 5510 / CGS 5166

NP-Completeness

CAP 5510 / CGS 5166

Polynomial-time computations

- An algorithm has time complexity O(T(n)) if it runs in time at most cT(n) for <u>every</u> input of length n.
- An algorithm is a polynomial-time algorithm if its time complexity is O(p(n)), where p(n) is polynomial in n.

Polynomials

If f(n) = polynomial function in n,

then f(n) = O(n^c), for some fixed constant c

- If f(n) = exponential (super-poly) function in n, then f(n) = ω(n^c), for any constant c
- Composition of polynomial functions are also polynomial, i.e., f(g(n)) = polynomial if f() and g() are polynomial
- If an algorithm calls another polynomial-time subroutine a polynomial number of times, then the time complexity is polynomial.

5 The class **P**

- A problem is in p if there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that solves the problem.
- Examples of \mathcal{P}
 - DFS: Linear-time algorithm exists
 - Sorting: O(n log n)-time algorithm exists
 - Bubble Sort: Quadratic-time algorithm O(n²)
 - APSP: Cubic-time algorithm O(n³)
- P is therefore a class of problems (not algorithms)!

The class *MP*

- A problem is in *MP* if there exists a non-deterministic polynomial-time algorithm that solves the problem.
- A problem is in \mathcal{NP} if there exists a (deterministic) polynomial-time algorithm that verifies a solution to the problem.
- All problems that are in \mathcal{P} are also in \mathcal{NP}
- All problems that are in \mathcal{MP} may not be in \mathcal{P}

TSP: Traveling Salesperson Problem

Input:

- Weighted graph, G
- Length bound, B
- Output:
 - Is there a traveling salesperson tour in G of length at most B?
- Is TSP in \mathcal{MP} ?
 - YES. Easy to verify a given solution.
- Is TSP in \mathcal{P} ?
 - OPEN!
 - One of the greatest unsolved problems of this century!
 - Same as asking: <u>Is P = MP?</u>

So, what is MP-Complete?

 \mathcal{MP} - Complete problems are the "hardest" problems in \mathcal{MP} .

We need to formalize the notion of "hardest".

P Terminology

Problem:

An <u>abstract problem</u> is a function (relation) from a set I of instances of the problem to a set S of solutions.

 $p: I \rightarrow S$

- An instance of a problem p is obtained by assigning values to the parameters of the abstract problem.
- Thus, describing set of all instances (I.e., possible inputs) and set of corresponding outputs defines a problem.

Algorithm:

- An algorithm that solves problem p must give correct solutions to all instances of the problem.
- **Polynomial-time algorithm:**

- Input Length:
 - length of an <u>encoding</u> of an instance of the problem.
 - Time and space complexities are written in terms of it.
- Worst-case time/space complexity of an algorithm
 - Is the maximum time/space required by the algorithm on any input of length n.
- Worst-case time/space complexity of a problem
 - UPPER BOUND: worst-case time complexity of best existing algorithm that solves the problem.
 - LOWER BOUND: (provable) worst-case time complexity of best algorithm (need not exist) that could solve the problem.
 - LOWER BOUND ≤ UPPER BOUND
- Complexity Class \u2262 :
 - Set of all problems p for which polynomial-time algorithms exist

Decision Problems:

11

- These are problems for which the solution set is {yes, no}
- Example: Does a given graph have an odd cycle?
- Example: Does a given weighted graph have a TSP tour of length at most B?
- Complement of a decision problem:
 - These are problems for which the solution is "complemented".
 - Example: Does a given graph NOT have an odd cycle?
 - Example: Is every TSP tour of a given weighted graph of length greater than B?

Optimization Problems:

- These are problems where one is maximizing (or minimizing) some objective function.
- Example: Given a weighted graph, find a MST.
- Example: Given a weighted graph, find an optimal TSP tour.
- Verification Algorithms:
 - Given a problem instance i and a certificate s, is s a solution for instance i?

- Complexity Class **P**:
 - Set of all problems p for which polynomial-time algorithms exist.
- Complexity Class *MP* :
 - Set of all problems p for which polynomial-time verification algorithms exist.
- Complexity Class co-MP :
 - Set of all problems p for which polynomial-time verification algorithms exist for their complements, i.e., their complements are in *MP*.

- Reductions: $p_1 \rightarrow p_2$
 - A problem p₁ is reducible to p₂, if there exists an algorithm R that takes an instance i₁ of p₁ and outputs an instance i₂ of p₂, with the constraint that the solution for i₁ is YES if and only if the solution for i₂ is YES.
 - Thus, R converts YES (NO) instances of p₁ to YES (NO) instances of p₂.
 - Polynomial-time reductions: p₁ p₂

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline R & \mbox{ If } p_1 & \mbox{ } p_2, \mbox{ then } \\ & \ - \mbox{ If } p_2 \mbox{ is easy, then so is } p_1. & \ p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \ \Rightarrow \ p_1 \in \mathcal{P} \\ & \ - \mbox{ If } p_1 \mbox{ is hard, then so is } p_2. & \ p_1 \notin \mathcal{P} \ \Rightarrow \ p_2 \notin \end{array}$$

COT 5407

What are MP-Complete problems?

- These are the hardest problems in \mathcal{MP} .
- A problem p is *MP* Complete if
 - there is a polynomial-time reduction from <u>every</u> problem in *NP* to p.
 - ▶ p ∈ *NP*
- How to prove that a problem is *MP Complete*?
 - Cook's Theorem: [1972]

NP-Complete VS NP-Hard

- A problem p is *MP*-Complete if
 - there is a polynomial-time reduction from <u>every</u> problem in *NP* to p.
 - ▶ p ∈ NP
- A problem p is MP-Hard if
 - there is a polynomial-time reduction from <u>every</u> problem in *NP* to p.

¹⁶ The SAT Problem: an example

- Consider the boolean expression:
 - $C = (a \lor \neg b \lor c) \land (\neg a \lor d \lor \neg e) \land (a \lor \neg d \lor \neg c)$
- Is C satisfiable?
- Does there exist a True/False assignments to the boolean variables a, b, c, d, e, such that C is True?
- Set a = True and d = True. The others can be set arbitrarily, and C will be true.
- If C has 40,000 variables and 4 million clauses, then it becomes hard to test this.
- If there are n boolean variables, then there are 2ⁿ different truth value assignments.
- However, a solution can be quickly verified!

The SAT (Satisfiability) Problem

- Input: Boolean expression C in Conjunctive normal form (CNF) in n variables and m clauses.
- Let $C = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_m$

 - Where each $C_i =$
 - And each $\in \{x_1, \neg x_1, x_2, \neg x_2, ..., x_n, \neg x_n\}$
 - We want to know if there exists a truth assignment to all the variables in the boolean expression C that makes it true.
- Steve Cook showed that the problem of deciding whether a non-deterministic Turing machine T accepts an input w or not can be written as a boolean expression C_T for a SAT problem. The boolean expression will have length bounded by a polynomial in the size of T and w.
 - How to now prove Cook's theorem? Is SAT in ""?"?
 - Can every problem in 72 be poly. reduced to it ?



More MP - Complete problems

<u>3SAT</u>

- Input: Boolean expression C in Conjunctive normal form (CNF) in n variables and m clauses. Each clause has at most <u>three</u> literals.
- Question: Is C satisfiable?

• Let
$$C = C_1 \land C_2 \land \dots \land C_m$$

- Where each $C_i = (y_1 \vee y_2 \vee y_3)$
- ► And each $y_{j} \in \{x_{1}, \neg x_{1}, x_{2}, \neg x_{2}, ..., x_{n}, \neg x_{n}\}$
- We want to know if there exists a truth assignment to all the variables in the boolean expression C that makes it true.

More *MP*- Complete problems?

<u>2SAT</u>

20

- Input: Boolean expression C in Conjunctive normal form (CNF) in n variables and m clauses. Each clause has at most <u>three</u> literals.
- Question: Is C satisfiable?
 - $Let C = C_1 \bigvee_j C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_m$
 - Where each C_i =
 - And each $\in \{x_1, \neg x_1, x_2, \neg x_2, ..., x_n, \neg x_n\}$
 - We want to know if there exists a truth assignment to all the variables in the boolean expression C that makes it true.

2SAT is in P.

COT 5407

3SAT is MP-Complete

- 3SAT is in *MP*.
- SAT can be reduced in polynomial time to 3SAT.
- This implies that every problem in *MP* can be reduced in polynomial time to 3SAT. Therefore, 3SAT is *MP*-Complete.
- So, we have to design an algorithm such that:
- Input: an instance C of SAT
- Output: an instance C' of 3SAT such that satisfiability is retained. In other words, C is satisfiable if and only if C' is satisfiable.

3SAT is NP - Complete

Let C be an instance of SAT with clauses C₁, C₂, ..., C_m Let C_i be a disjunction of k > 3 literals. $C_i = y_1 \vee y_2 \vee \ldots \vee y_k$ **Rewrite C_i as follows:** $C'_{i} = (y_{1} \lor y_{2} \lor z_{1}) \land$ $(\neg \mathbf{Z}_1 \lor \mathbf{Y}_3 \lor \mathbf{Z}_2) \land$ $(\neg \mathbf{Z}_2 \lor \mathbf{Y}_4 \lor \mathbf{Z}_3) \land$ $(\neg \mathbf{Z}_{k-3} \lor \mathbf{y}_{k-1} \lor \mathbf{y}_k)$ Claim: C_i is satisfiable if and only if C'_i is satisfiable.

2SAT is in **P**

- If there is only one literal in a clause, it must be set to true.
- If there are two literals in some clause, and if one of them is set to false, then the other must be set to true.
- Using these constraints, it is possible to check if there is some inconsistency.
- How? Homework problem!

The CLIQUE Problem

• A clique is a completely connected subgraph.



Figure 22.10 The articulation points, bridges, and biconnected components of a connected, undirected graph for use in Problem 22-2. The articulation points are the heavily shalled vertices, the bridges are the heavily shaded edges, and the biconnected components are the edges in the shaded regions, with a *bcc* numbering shown.

CLIQUE

Input: Graph G(V,E) and integer k Question: Does G have a clique of size k?12/208

COT 540

CLIQUE is MP-Complete

CLIQUE is in *MP*.

25

COT 5407

Reduce 3SAT to CLIQUE in polynomial time.

 $\bullet \quad F = (x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor x_3) (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4) (x_2 \lor x_3 \lor \neg x_4) (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor x_3)$



F is satisfiable if and only if G has a clique of size k where k is the number of clauses in F.



Vertex Cover

A vertex cover is a set of vertices that "covers" all the edges of the graph.



Vertex Cover (VC)

Input: Graph G, integer k

Question: Does G contain a vertex cover of size k?

• VC is in \mathcal{WP} .

27

polynomial-time reduction from CLIQUE to VC.
Thus VC is *MP*-Complete.



Claim: G' has a clique of size k' if and only if G has a VC of size k = n - k'

²⁸ Hamiltonian Cycle Problem (HCP)

Input: Graph G

Question: Does G contain a hamiltonian cycle?

- $\blacksquare HCP is in \mathcal{MP}.$
 - There exists a polynomial-time reduction from 3SAT to HCP.
 - / Thus HCP is *MP-Complete*.

Notes/animations by a former student, Yi Ge!

https://users.cs.fiu.edu/~giri/teach/UoM/7713/f98/yige/yi12.html