Analysis of HIV Sequences From Patients Data

By Patricia Buendia

Why study HIV data:

- Viruses have a high evolution rate
- Large amount of data in public databases
- Evolutionary pattern closely related to the immunological status of host
- Analysis of serially sampled data significant to medical assessment of disease

Drugs and the HIV Life Cycle



Analysis of First Set of Data

1 Year study of 10 patients before and during therapy
Drug therapy: AZT(ZDV)-3TC-IDV
5 to 9 sequences per patient in Genbank

Reference

Günthard et al. – Journal of Virology 1998

Human immunodeficiency virus replication and genotypic resistance in blood and lymph nodes after a year of potent antiretroviral therapy

ML Tree for Patient F





- Generated by Phylip's DNAML program
- Implements maximum likelihood method

geno2pheno

- Input: HIV-1 pol-gene DNA sequence
- Output: predictions of phenotypic resistance to 17 antiretroviral drugs
- How: 2-class phenotype predictions using decision trees and SVM

FOR MORE INFO...

http://217.89.67.10/cgi-bin/geno2pheno.pl/

Patient F: Drug Resistance Prediction Results

Sample AF040589 before receiving ZDV-3TC- IDV drug therapy

Sample AF040592 after a year of ZDV-3TC-IDV drug therapy (March 1998)

Drug	Cutoff	Decision tree	SVM	Predicted	Drug	Cutoff	Decision tree	SVM	Predicted
-		classification ¹	classification ²	fold-	_		classification ¹	classification ²	fold-
		[confidence factor]		resistance			[confidence factor]		resistance
				(SVM					(SVM
				regression ²					regression ²)
ZDV	8.5	resistant [0.90]	resistant	13.6	ZDV	8.5	resistant [0.90]	resistant	26. 7
ddC	2.5	susceptible [0.80]	susceptible	1.5	ddC	2.5	resistant [0.73]	resistant	2.6
ddI	2.5	susceptible [0.86]	susceptible	1.6	ddI	2.5	resistant [0.58]	resistant	2.4
d4 T	2.5	susceptible [0.71]	susceptible	1.8	d4T	2.5	susceptible [0.71]	susceptible	1.9
3TC	8.5	susceptible [0.80]	susceptible	5.8	3TC	8.5	resistant [0.98]	resistant	211.0
ABC	2.5	resistant [0.89]	susceptible	2.2	ABC	2.5	resistant [0.89]	resistant	4.0
TDF	2.5	susceptible [0.88]	susceptible	2.2	TDF	2.5	resistant [0.76]	susceptible	1.8
NVP	8.5	susceptible [0.89]	susceptible	1.5	NVP	8.5	susceptible [0.89]	susceptible	5.3
DLV	8.5	susceptible [0.89]	susceptible	1.5	DLV	8.5	susceptible [0.89]	susceptible	1.5
EFV	8.5	susceptible [0.91]	susceptible	1.1	EFV	8.5	susceptible [0.91]	susceptible	2.2
SQV	3.5	susceptible [0.89]	susceptible	1.1	SQV	3.5	resistant [0.88]	susceptible	3.0
IDV	3.5	susceptible [0.90]	susceptible	1.2	IDV	3.5	resistant [0.87]	susceptible	3.0
RTV	3.5	susceptible [0.91]	susceptible	1.1	RTV	3.5	resistant [0.89]	susceptible	2.7
NFV	3.5	susceptible [0.89]	susceptible	1.0	NFV	3.5	resistant [0.93]	susceptible	3.3
APV	3.5	susceptible [0.92]	susceptible	1.3	APV	3.5	susceptible [0.92]	susceptible	1.7
LPV	3.5	susceptible [0.86]	susceptible	1.1	LPV	3.5	susceptible [0.86]	susceptible	1.5
ATV	3.5	susceptible [0.84]	susceptible	1.4	ATV	3.5	resistant [0.83]	susceptible	2.6

Analysis of Second Set of Data

• 5 Year study of HAART therapy

- 2 patients under 3TC + d4T+ ldv drug therapy
- 1 patient under 3TC + d4T + Nfv + Sqv
- approx. 250 sequences per patient
- Observation: Viral load increased after discontinuation of therapy

Reference

Imamichi et al. – Journal of Infectious Diseases 2001 *Human immunodeficiency virus Type 1 quasi species rebound after discontinuation of higly active antiretroviral therapy…*



ML Protease Tree

Tree for Patient 2 was constructed using DNAML



gi|902798|gb|U26942.1|HIV1U269

 Time 1: -5 months before

 first count of <50 copies/mL</td>

 2
 3
 4
 6
 23
 26
 27
 30
 31
 34
 35
 37
 38
 39

 & before therapy start
 1
 5
 22
 24
 25
 28
 29
 36
 40
 44

 Time 2: 0 months and first

 documented count
 19 9 41 24
 8 13 48 7
 28 20 36 40 10 11 44

 of <50 copies/mL</td>

 Time 3: 12 months after

 first count
 12 22 13 28 36 43 42 49 14 44

 of <50 copies/mL</td>

 Time 4: 18 months after
 15 22 28 16 17 29 36 18 45 44

 first count
 15 22 28 16 17 29 36 18 45 44

 of <50 copies/mL</th>
 15 22 28 16 17 29 36 18 45 44

Time 5: 29 months after first count of < 50copies/mL 19 22 25 20 21 33 48 31 32 36 46 47 44 3 weeks after discontinuation of therapy & relapse

Find Closest Ancestor

• Use a distance matrix

 Find closest distance between sequences from consecutive time periods

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1											
2	0.0067										
3	0.0101	0.0101									
4	0.0135	0.0135	0.0101								
5	0.0101	0.0101	0.0067	0.0101							
6	0.0101	0.0034	0.0135	0.0168	0.0135						
7	0.0135	0.0135	0.0101	0.0067	0.0101	0.0168					
8	0.0101	0.0101	0.0067	0.0101	0.0067	0.0135	0.0101				
9	0.0135	0.0135	0.0101	0.0135	0.0034	0.0168	0.0135	0.0101			
10	0.0135	0.0202	0.0168	0.0202	0.0168	0.0236	0.0202	0.0168	0.0135		
11	0.0135	0.0202	0.0168	0.0202	0.0168	0.0236	0.0202	0.0168	0.0135	0.0067	
12	0.0135	0.0202	0.0168	0.0202	0.0101	0.0236	0.0202	0.0168	0.0135	0.0202	0.0202
•	•	•	•		•		•		•	•	•

Neutral and Darwinian Selective Evolution

Sequence 1: Sequence 2:	ATC ATC	GTA GTT	CCT ACT
	-	SYN	NONSYN
	Ile	Val	Pro->Thr

- Detect positive selection by comparing rates of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions ω = d_N/d_S
- assume the nonsynonymous substitutions are proof of positive selection $\omega > 1$

 pairwise calculation of positive selection rate ω is done using Yang's codon-based model implemented in PAML

Algorithm for Analysis of serially sampled data

- 1) Calculate distance matrix for unique sequences (DNADIST)
- Calculate pairwise positive selection rate ω (PAML)
- 3) Separate sequences into time groups t_i,...,t_n
- 4) For each seq_d ∈ t_i find seq_a ∈ t_{i-1} so that dist(seq_d,seq_a) = min(dist(seq_d,seq_i)) ∀ seq_i ∈ t_{i-1}
- 5) For each such pair found in 4), get ω from matrix in 2), to determine positive selection $(\omega > 1)$

Time 1: -5 months before first count of <50 copies/mI	2	2 3	4 6	5 23 20	5 <mark>27 30 3</mark>	1 34 3	5 37	38 39
&before therapy start	1	5	<mark>22</mark> 24	25	<mark>28</mark>	<mark>29</mark>	<mark>40</mark>	<mark>44</mark>
20%					***			
Time 2: 0 months and first documented count of <50 copies/mL	19	9 4	11 24	8 13 4	8 7 28	20 <u>3</u> 6	40 1	
30%								
Time 3: 12 months after first count of <50 copies/mL] 1/	2 22		13	<mark>28</mark>	<mark>36</mark>	43 42	2 <mark>49 14 44</mark>
10%							* * * *	
Time 4: 18 months after first count of <50 copies/mL]	5 22			28	16 17	29 36	18 45 44
7.5%						///		
Time 5: 29 months after first count of <50 copies/mI 3 weeks after discontinuation])n	9 22 9 12	hera	25 apy &	20 21 33 relapse	48 3 e	1 <mark>32 36</mark>	46 47 44

Conclusion

Reasons for drug therapy failure:

- appearance of multidrug-resistant virus:
- non-drug resistant virus continues to replicate in virus reservoirs of special cells

 New methods needed for analysis of time consecutive HIV-1 data