# **Coloured Petri Nets**



# What is a Coloured Petri Net?

 Modelling language for systems where synchronisation, communication, and resource sharing are important.

- Combination of Petri Nets and Programming Language.
  - Control structures, synchronisation, communication, and resource sharing are described by Petri Nets.
  - Data and data manipulations are described by functional programming language.
- CPN models are *validated* by means of *simulation* and *verified* by means of *state spaces* and *place invariants*.

 Coloured Petri Nets is developed at University of Aarhus, Denmark over the last 25 years.

# Why do we make models?



- We make *models* to:
  - Learn new things about a system.
  - To check that the system design has certain expected properties.
- CPN models are dynamic:
  - They can be executed on a computer.
  - This allows us to play and *investigate* different *scenarios*.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

Overview of talk

#### Modelling

- Basic language
  - syntax
  - semantics
- Extensions
  - modules
  - time
- Tool support
  - editing
  - simulation

#### Analysis

- State spaces
  - ∎ full
  - symmetries
  - equivalence classes
  - sweep-line
- Place invariants
  - check of invariants
  - use of invariants













#### 27/05/2005













27/05/2005









![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

 The CPN simulator makes random choices between bindings: 80% chance for successful transfer.

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Correct packet number

if n=k andalso 1`(3,"alysis b") The data in the packet is str concatenated to the data str^p ther else s already received. 1 1`(3,"alysis b") The NextRec counter is 1"Modelling and Analysis b" increased by one. Receive **NextRec**  An acknowledgement Packet 1`3 is sent. It contains the ۲. number of the next packet if n: the receiver wants to get. then else

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

25

) 1`"Modelling and An"

Received

1`"Modelling and An'

(1

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Transmit acknowledgement**

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

- This transition works in a similar way as *Transmit Packet*.
- The marking of RA determines the success rate.

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Receive acknowledgement**

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

- When an acknowledgement arrives to the Sender it is used to update the NextSend counter.
  - In this case the counter value becomes 2, and hence the Sender will begin to send packet number 2.

# Intermediate state

- Receiver expects packet no. 6.
- Sender is still sending packet no. 5.
- Acknowledgement requesting packet no. 6 is arriving.
- Then NextSend is updated and Sender will start sending packet no. 6.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

31

# **CP-nets has a formal definition**

- The existence of a *formal definition* is important:
  - Basis for *simulation*, i.e., execution of the CP-net.
  - Basis for the *formal verification* methods (e.g., state spaces and place invariants).
  - Without the formal definition, it would have been impossible to obtain a *sound* net class.
- It is not necessary for a user to know the formal definition of CP-nets:
  - Correct syntax is checked by the CPN editor.
  - Correct semantics is guaranteed by the CPN simulator and the CPN verification tools.

### **High-level Petri nets**

- The relationship between CP-nets and ordinary Petri nets (PT-nets) is analogous to the relationship between high-level programming languages and assembly code.
  - In theory, the two levels have exactly the same computational power.
  - In practice, high-level languages have much more modelling power – because they have better structuring facilities, e.g., types and modules.
- Several other kinds of *high-level Petri Nets* exist. However, *Coloured Petri Nets* is the most widely used – in particular for *practical work*.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

Overview of talk

#### Modelling

- ♦ Basic language
  - syntax
  - semantics
- Extensions
  - modules
  - time
- ♦ Tool support
  - editing
  - simulation

#### Analysis

- State spaces
  - ∎ full
  - symmetries
  - equivalence classes
  - sweep-line
- Place invariants
  - check of invariants
  - use of invariants

# **CP-nets are used for large systems**

- ◆ A CPN model consists of a number of *modules*.
  - Also called subnets or pages.
  - Well-defined interfaces and clear semantics.
- ◆ A typical *industrial application* of CP-nets has:
  - 10-200 modules.
  - 50-1000 places and transitions.
  - 10-200 types.
- Industrial applications of this size would be totally impossible without:

35

- Data types and token values.
- Modules.
- Tool support.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

![](_page_17_Figure_13.jpeg)

### Three different modules

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Port places are used to exchange tokens between modules.

37

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Substitution transitions refer to modules.
- coloured Petri Nets 
  Socket places are related to port places.

27/05/2005

#### Modules can be reused

Protocol

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

**Protocol with multiple receivers** 

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Receive acknowledgments**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

• The sender follows the *slowest* receiver.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

#### **Hierarchical descriptions**

- We use modules to structure large and complex descriptions.
- Modules allow us to *hide details* that we do not want to consider at a certain *level of abstraction*.
- Modules have well-defined interfaces, consisting of socket and port places, through which the modules exchange tokens with each other.
- Modules can be *reused*.

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Protocol for ISDN network**

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

Most abstract view of the system.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

### **Overview of user site**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Some modules are used many times

(ISDN#1) Prime (DECLARE#4)	
Networks	
	ET_TOP#19
U0 NULL#3 NULL_SET#5 U1 CALL_INI#6 U2 OVERLAP#9 U3 OUTGOING#15 U4 CALL_DEL#16 U7 CALL_REC#11 U8 CONNECT#12 U9 (INFO#42 U_REL#25 U_DISCONNE#8 U12 OISCONNE#8 U12 OISCONNE#8 U19 RELEASE#17	N0  NULL#20  U_SETUP#21    N2  OVERLAP#29  N_HOLD#44    N3  OUTGOING#26    N4  CALL_DEL#28    (N_E_PART#27)  (N_D_PART#31)    N6  CALL_PRE#38    N7  CALL_REC#32    N8  CONNECT#30    N9  (INCOMING#37)    N10  ACTIVE#36    N11  DISCONNE#33    N12  DISCONNE#34
	RELEASE#35

◆ 43 modules with more than 100 instances.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

Entire model was made in only 3 man-weeks. 50

# Time analysis

- CP-nets can be extended with a *time concept*. This means that the *same modelling language* can be used to investigate:
  - Logical correctness.
    Desired functionality, absence of deadlocks, etc.
  - Performance.
    How fast is the system and how many resources are used.

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

#### How to add time

 Time has been added to Petri net models in many different ways – typically by specifying delays on places or transitions.

![](_page_25_Figure_8.jpeg)

- Time stamp determines when the token can be used, i.e., consumed by a transition.
  - Delays can be fixed.
  - Determined by an arbitrary distribution.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

# A timed CP-net for protocol

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Application areas**

#### **Protocols and Networks**

- Intelligent Networks at Deutsche Telekom
- ◆ IEEE 802.6 Configuration Control at Telstra Research Labs
- ◆ Allocation Policies in the Fieldbus Protocol in Japan
- ISDN Services at Telstra Research Laboratories
- Protocol for an Audio/Video System at Bang & Olufsen
- ◆ TCP Protocols at Hewlett-Packard
- Local Area Network at University of Las Palmas
- UPC Algorithms in ATM Networks at University of Aarhus
- BRI Protocol in ISDN Networks
- Network Management System at RC International A/S
- Interprocess Communication in Pool IDA at King's College

#### Software

- Mobile Phones at Nokia
- Bank Transactions & Interconnect Fabric at Hewlett-Packard
- Mutual Exclusion Algorithm at University of Aarhus
- Distributed Program Execution at University of Aarhus
- Internet Cache at the Hungarian Academy of Science
- Electronic Funds Transfer in the US
- Document Storage System at Bull AG
- ADA Program at Draper Laboratories

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

#### **Control of Systems**

- Security and Access Control Systems at Dalcotech A/S
- Mechatronic Systems in Cars at Peugeot-Citroën in France
- European Train Control System in Germany
- Flowmeter System at Danfoss
- ◆ Traffic Signals in Brazil
- Chemical Production in Germany
- Model Train System at University of Kiel

#### Hardware

- Superscalar Processor Architectures at Univ. of Newcastle
- VLSI Chip in the US
- Arbiter Cascade at Meta Software Corp.

#### **Military Systems**

- Military Communications Gateway in Australia
- Influence Nets for the US Air Force
- Missile Simulator in Australia
- Naval Command and Control System in Canada

#### **Other Systems**

- Bank Courier Network at Shawmut National Coop.
- Nuclear Waste Management Programme in the US

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

55

### **Overview of talk**

#### Modelling

- Basic language
  - syntax
  - semantics
- Extensions
  - modules
  - time
- Tool support
  - editing
  - simulation

#### Analysis

- State spaces
  - ∎ full
  - symmetries
  - equivalence classes
  - sweep-line
- Place invariants
  - check of invariants
  - use of invariants

# **Computer tools**

- Design/CPN was developed in the late 80'ies and early 90'ies.
  - Until recently, it was the most widely used Petri net package.
  - Used by 1000 different organisations in more than 60 countries including 200 commercial companies.
- ♦ CPN Tools is the next generation of tool support for Coloured Petri Nets.
  - It has now replaced Design/CPN with 2500 users in more than 100 countries.
  - Development started in 1999 and a total of 25 man-years have been used.
  - Development continues with an expected effort of 3-4 man-years per year.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

# **CPN Tools and Design/CPN**

The *functionality* of the two tools is the same:

- ◆ Editing and syntax check of CP-nets.
- ◆ Interactive and automatic simulation.
- Construction and analysis of state spaces.
- Communication with other tools.
- Simulation based *performance analysis*.
- Graphical animation of simulation results.

### What is new in CPN Tools?

- Windows XP. Later versions will also support Linux.
- On-the-fly, incremental syntax check.
- Much more efficient simulation engine in particular for:
  - Models with many tokens.
  - *Timed* models.
- New user interface with a number of state-of-the-art interaction mechanisms:
  - No menu bars and (nearly) no dialogues boxes.

- Tool palettes.
- Circular marking menus.

![](_page_29_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_11.jpeg)

# Standard ML

- Types, arc expressions and guards are specified in Standard ML, which is a strongly typed, functional programming language developed by Robin Milner.
- Data types can be:
  - Atomic (integers, strings, booleans and enumerations).
  - Structured (products, records, unions, lists, and subsets).
- Arbitrary complex *functions* and *operations* can be defined (e.g., using polymorphism).
- Standard ML is well-known, well-tested and very general. Several *text books* are available.

61

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

Support for hierarchical models

![](_page_30_Figure_9.jpeg)

♦ We want to move the selected part to a new module.
 Coloured Petri Nets ♦ This is done by a single operation.
 62

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Simulation results are shown directly on the CP-net.

Transitions are chosen by the user or the simulator.

Coloured Petri Nets User can observe all details and set breakpoints. 66

# **Automatic simulation**

- The user does *not* intend to follow the simulation:
  - Simulation can be very fast several thousand steps per second.
  - User specifies some stop criteria, which determine the duration of the simulation.
  - When the simulation stops the graphics of the CP-net is updated.
  - Then the user can *inspect* all details of the graphics, e.g., the *enabling* and the *marking*.
- Automatic simulations can be *mixed* with interactive simulations.
- To find out what happens *during* an automatic simulation the user has a number of choices.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

Simulation report

- 1 SendPack@(1:Top#1) {n=1,p="Modellin"}
- 2 TranPack@(1:Top#1) {n=1,p="Modellin",r=6,s=8}
- 3 SendPack@(1:Top#1) {n=1,p="Modellin"}
- 4 TranPack@(1:Top#1) {n=1,p="Modellin",r=3,s=8}
- 5 RecPack@(1:Top#1) {k=1,n=1,p="Modellin",str=
- 6 SendPack@(1:Top#1) {n=1,p="Modellin"}

![](_page_33_Figure_16.jpeg)

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

#### Automatic code generation CPN models are often used to specify and validate new software. It is also possible to *implement* the software by automatic code generation. This method has been applied to develop a system for access control to buildings. The source code for the final implementation was generated automatically from the CPN specification - by extracting parts of the Standard ML code used by the CPN simulator. The approach is only adequate for systems that are not time critical and systems that are produced in small numbers. 71

**Coloured Petri Nets** 27/05/2005

# **Overview of talk**

#### Modelling

- ♦ Basic language
  - syntax
  - semantics
- Extensions
  - modules
  - time
- Tool support
  - editing
  - simulation

#### Analysis

- State spaces
  - ∎ full
  - symmetries
  - equivalence classes
  - sweep-line
- Place invariants
  - check of invariants
  - use of invariants
## **State spaces**

◆ A state space is a directed graph with:

- A node for each reachable marking (i.e., state).
- An arc for each occurring binding element.



## State space tool

- State spaces are often very large.
- The CPN state space tool allows the user to:
  - Generate state spaces.
  - Analyse state spaces to obtain information about the *behaviour* of the modelled system.
- Generation is totally automatic while analysis is automatic or semi-automatic (based on queries from the user).

## State space report

- Generation of the state space report takes often only a few seconds.
  - The report contains a lot of useful information about the *behaviour* of the CP-net.
  - The report is excellent for *locating errors* or to *increase our confidence* in the *correctness* of the system.



- Only have *4 packets*.
- Limit the number of tokens on A, B, C, and D.

Binary choice between success and failure.

#### State space report for protocol

Occurrence Graph Statistics Nodes: 428 Arcs: 1130 Secs: 0 Status: Full Scc Graph Statistics Nodes: 182 Arcs: 673 Secs: 0

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

**Integer bounds** 

A, B, C, D, Limit: 0-2

NextSend, NextRec, Received: 1

Send: 4

 Integer bounds tell the maximal and minimal number of tokens on the individual places.

## **Integer bounds**



## **Upper multi-set bounds**

A, B:	2`(1,"Modellin") + 2`(2,"g and An") + 2`(3,"alysis##") + 2`(4,"########")
C, D:	2`2 + 2`3 + 2`4 + 2`5
Limit:	2`e
NextSend, NextRec:	1`1 + 1`2 + 1`3 + 1`4 + 1`5
Received:	1`"" + 1`" Modellin" + 1`"Modelling and An" + 1`"Modelling and Analysis##"
Send:	1`(1,"Modellin") + 1`(2,"g and An") + 1`(3,"alysis##") + 1`(4,"########")

## Home and liveness properties



## **Investigation of dead marking**

♦ Marking 235 is the only dead marking.

- This implies that the protocol is *partially correct* (if execution stops it stops in the desired final marking).
- Marking 235 is a *home marking*.
  - This implies that we always have a chance to finish correctly (it is impossible to reach a state from which we cannot reach the desired final marking).

## **Fairness properties**

Send Packet:	Impartial
Transmit Packet:	Impartial
<b>Receive Packet:</b>	No Fairness
Transmit Acknow:	No Fairness
<b>Receive Acknow:</b>	No Fairness

♦ Fairness properties tell how often the individual transitions occur.

**Coloured Petri Nets** 27/05/2005



**Coloured Petri Nets** 27/05/2005

84

## **Drawing of shortest path**



Draw more complex subgraph



## **Non-standard queries**



## **Query in Standard ML**



428,360,310,271,233] : Arc list

Yes!



Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

## **Temporal logic**

- It is also possible to make state space queries by means of a CTL-like temporal logic.
  - States.
  - Transitions.
  - Binding elements.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

#### State spaces - pro/contra

- State spaces are *powerful* and *easy* to use.
  - Construction and analysis can be automated.
  - No need to know the mathematics behind the analysis methods.
- The main drawback is the state explosion, i.e., the size of the state space.
  - The present version of our tool handles graphs with one million states.
  - For many systems this is *not sufficient*.

## **Statistics – full state spaces**

Limit:		1	2	3	4	5	6
Nodes	Original	33	428	3,329	18,520	82,260	310,550
	Max	33	293	1,829	9,025	37,477	136,107
	Ratio	1.0	1.46	1.82	2.05	2.19	2.28
Arcs	Original	44	1,130	12,825	91,220	483,562	2,091,223
	Max	44	764	6,860	43,124	213,902	891,830
	Ratio	1.0	1.48	1.87	2.12	2.26	2.34
Secs	Original	0	0	3	41	560	7,686
	Max	0	0	2	16	153	1,634
	Ratio			1.5	2.56	3.66	4.70

Intel Pentium III, 1GHz, 1 GB RAM

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

**Condensed state spaces** 

- Fortunately, it is sometimes possible to construct much more *compact* state spaces – *without loosing information*.
- This is done by exploiting:
  - Symmetries in the modelled system.
  - Other kinds of equivalent behaviour.
  - Progress measure.
  - Concurrency between events.

## **Protocol with multiple receivers**



27/05/2005

State space for three receivers



- ◆ The red nodes are equivalent (or symmetrical).
- They also have equivalent:
  - direct successors,
  - enabled binding elements.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005



## **Symmetries**

- A symmetry is a function  $\phi$  that maps:
  - markings into equivalent markings,
  - binding elements into equivalent binding elements.
- A symmetry specification is a set of functions
  - $\Phi \subseteq [\mathbf{M} \cup \mathbf{BE} \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \cup \mathbf{BE}]$  such that:
    - $\forall \phi \in \Phi: (\phi \mid M) \in [M \to M] \land (\phi \mid BE) \in [BE \to BE].$
    - $(\Phi, \circ)$  is an *algebraic group*.

Each element of  $\Phi$  is called a *symmetry*.

#### **Equivalent markings**

 $M \approx_{_{M}} M^* \iff \exists \phi \in \Phi: M = \phi(M^*).$ 

 $M \approx_{_{BE}} M^* \iff \exists \phi \in \Phi: b = \phi(b^*).$ 

•  $(\Phi, \circ)$  is an algebraic group. This implies that  $\approx_{_{M}}$  and  $\approx_{_{BE}}$  are equivalence relations.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

#### Consistency

- We demand that equivalent markings must have:
  - equivalent direct successors,
  - equivalent enabled binding elements.
- A symmetry specification Φ is consistent iff the following properties are satisfied for all symmetries φ∈Φ, all reachable markings M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub> and all binding elements b:
  - $M_1 \xrightarrow{b} M_2 \iff \phi(M_1) \xrightarrow{\phi(b)} \phi(M_2).$
  - $\phi(M_0) = M_0$ .

## **Protocol with multiple receivers**

- Symmetries are defined as consistent permutations of receiver-IDs:
  - When we model each receiver by a separate module we permute the markings of these modules.
  - When we model all receivers by a single module (adding a *new component* to the token colours) we permute the *colour values* in the type:

REC = { $rec_1, rec_2, rec_3, ...$ }.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

101

## Construction of state spaces with symmetries

- State spaces with symmetries are constructed in the same way as ordinary state spaces, except that:
  - Before adding a new node we check whether the marking is equivalent to the marking of an existing node.
  - Before adding a new arc we check whether the binding element is equivalent to the binding element of an existing arc (from the same source node).

## What can we prove from state spaces with symmetries?

- State spaces with symmetries can be used to investigate the same kinds of behavioural properties as ordinary state spaces, but only modulo equivalence.
- ♦ As an example, this means that:
  - We cannot investigate whether a certain marking is reachable itself.
  - Instead we can investigate whether there is an equivalent marking which is reachable.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

103

#### **Statistics – symmetries**

Limit = Receivers		2	3	4 (3 packets)	5 (2 packets)	6 (2 packets)
	Full	921	22,371	172,581	486,767	5,917,145
Nodes	Sym	477	4,195	9,888	8,387	24,122
	Ratio	1.93	5.33	17.45	58.04	245.30
	Full	1,832	64,684	671,948	2,392,458	35,068,448
Arcs	Sym	924	11,280	32,963	31,110	101,240
	Ratio	1.98	5.73	20.38	76.90	346.39
	Full	2 secs	4 mins	191 mins		
Time	Sym	3 secs	2 mins	8 mins	8 mins	1 hour
	Ratio	0.7	2.0	23.9		
Perms	n!	2	6	24	120	720

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

Prototype implementation in 1998.

## We can be more general

- We have defined the *equivalence relations* for markings and bindings elements from a set of symmetry functions.
- Instead we may define the equivalence relations directly (i.e. from scratch).
- An equivalence specification is a pair ( $\approx_{M}, \approx_{BE}$ ) where:
  - ≈<sub>M</sub> is an equivalence relation on the set of all markings.
  - ≈<sub>BE</sub> is an equivalence relation on the set of all binding elements.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

## Consistency

- As before, we demand that equivalent markings must have:
  - equivalent direct successors,
  - equivalent enabled binding elements.
- An equivalence specification (≈<sub>M</sub>, ≈<sub>BE</sub>) is consistent iff for all reachable markings M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M and all binding elements b:

$$M_{1} \approx_{_{M}} M_{2} \wedge M_{1} \xrightarrow{b} M \implies \\ \exists M^{*} \approx_{_{M}} M \exists b^{*} \approx_{_{BE}} b: M_{2} \xrightarrow{b^{*}} M^{*}.$$

# State spaces with equivalence classes

- State spaces with equivalence classes are constructed in the same way as state spaces with symmetries.
- They can be used to *investigate* the same kinds of *behavioural properties*.
- State spaces with symmetries is a special case of state spaces with equivalence classes.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

107

## Intermediate state of protocol

- Receiver expects packet no. 6.
- Sender is still sending packet no. 5.
- This packet will be ignored. It is old.
- This acknowledgment will also be ignored. It is old.



## **Equivalence relation**

 A marking M(p) where p is one of the network places A,B,C,D is *split* into two parts:

• Two markings  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are *equivalent* iff:

■ 
$$M_1(p) = M_2(p)$$
 for  $p \notin \{A, B, C, D\}$ 

$$|M_{1}(p)_{OLD}| = |M_{2}(p)_{OLD}|$$
 for  $p \in \{A, B, C, D\}$ 

 $\bullet M_1(p)_{NEW} = M_2(p)_{NEW}$ 

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

Two equivalent states 1`(1,"Modellin") INTxDATA + 1`(2,"g and An") 8)+ 1`(3,"alysis b") + 1`(4,"y Means ") 1"Modelling and Send (Received) Analysis by Means of DATA + 1`(5,"of Colou") Colou" + 1`(6,"red Petr") (n,p) + 1`(7,"i Nets##") if n=k + 1`(8,"#######") if Ok(s,r) andalso INTXDATA INTxDATA then 1`(n,p) str p<>stop (n,p) else empty then str^p Send (n,p) Transmit (n,p) В else str Packet Packet 1`(5,"of Colou S n 8 1`(4,"y Means 1 (1)1'8 (RP Int\_0\_10 Receive NextSend NextRec Packet IN INT 1 1 6 if n=k 1`8 (1)1`5 1 RA Int\_0\_10 then k+1 max(n,k) else k if n=k then k+1 else k Receive Transmit D С Acknow. Acknow. if Ok(s,r) INT IN then 1'n else empty 2 1'4 +1'6 (1)1`6 2)1'3+1'6 **Coloured Petri Nets** 110 27/05/2005

## **Statistics – equivalence classes**

Limit:		1	2	3	4	5	6
	Full	33	293	1,829	9,025	37,477	136,107
Nodes	Equiv	33	155	492	1,260	2,803	5,635
	Ratio	1.0	1.89	3.72	7.16	13.37	24.15
Arcs	Full	44	764	6,860	43,124	213,902	891,830
	Equiv	44	383	1,632	5,019	12,685	28,044
	Ratio	1.0	1.99	4.20	8.59	16.86	31.80
Secs	Full	1	1	6	56	642	7,507
	Equiv	1	1	7	36	157	553
	Ratio	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.56	4.09	13.58

Sun Ultra Sparc 3000, 512 MB in 1997.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005



Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005







#### States sorted by progress measure



Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

## **Construction of state space**



## We continue the construction



• The *sweep-line* moves from left to right.

- In front of it, we add new nodes.
- Behind it, we remove nodes.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

## **Statistics – sweep-line**

Limit:		1	2	3	4	5	6
Nodes	Full	33	293	1,829	9,025	37,477	136,107
	Sweep	33	134	758	4,449	20,826	82,586
	Ratio	1.0	2.19	2.41	2.03	1.80	1.65
Arcs	Full	44	764	6,860	43,124	213,902	891,830
	Sweep						
	Ratio						
Secs	Full	0	0	2	16	153	1,634
	Sweep	0	0	0	9	93	1,083
	Ratio				1.78	1.65	1.51

Intel Pentium III, 1GHz, 1 GB RAM

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

#### **Statistics – sweep-line**

Packets	:	4	5	6	7	8
Nodes	Full	9,025	20,016	38,885	68,720	113,121
	Sweep	4,449	8,521	14,545	22,905	33,985
	Ratio	2.03	2.35	2.67	3.00	3.33
Arcs	Full	43,124	99,355	198,150	356,965	596,264
	Sweep					
	Ratio					
Secs	Full	12	41	125	345	864
	Sweep	7	21	57	152	359
	Ratio	1.71	1.95	2.19	2.27	2.41

AMD Athlon 1.33GHz, 512 MB RAM

119

Limit = 4

## Sweep-line method – pro/contra

- We can construct *larger state spaces*, since we do not need to have all states in *memory at the same time*.
- In a timed CP-net we can use the global clock as a progress measure – time does not go backwards.
- "Problems":
  - Analysis must be done on the-fly.
  - To deal with *reactive systems* we need to be able to use *non-monotonous* progress measures.
  - Counter examples are more difficult to construct, since part of the state space has been deleted from memory.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

121

## **Overview of talk**

#### Modelling

- ♦ Basic language
  - syntax
  - semantics
- Extensions
  - modules
  - time
- Tool support
  - editing
  - simulation

#### Analysis

- State spaces
  - ∎ full
  - symmetries
  - equivalence classes
  - sweep-line
- Place invariants
  - check of invariants
  - use of invariants

## **Place invariants**

- The basic idea is similar to the use of invariants in program verification.
- An invariant describes a property which is fulfilled for all reachable states.
  - We first *construct* a set of place invariants.
  - Then we *check* whether they are fulfilled.
  - Finally, we use the place invariants to prove behavioural properties of the CP-net.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005



## **Distributed data base**



#### **Data base managers**





#### **Mutual exclusion**



**Coloured Petri Nets** 27/05/2005







#### **Distributed data base**



#### **Distributed data base**



#### **Distributed data base**



#### Data base managers



#### **Message buffers**



## **Mutual exclusion**



## **Received messages**



## **Used messages**

MesM(Waiting)) = M(Sent) + M (Received) + M(Acknowledged)





## **Place invariants**

Place  $\rightarrow$  M(Place)

- Waiting+ Inactive + Performing = DBM
- Unused + Sent + Receive + Acknowledged = MES

Active + Passive = E

- Rec(Received) = Performing
- Mes(Waiting) = Sent + Received + Acknowledge

● Ign(Waiting) = Active

More invariants can be obtained by linear combinations:

♦ Ign(Waiting) + Passive = E

**Coloured Petri Nets** 27/05/2005

141

#### **Construction of invariants**

- Construction of invariants can be manual. This is often straightforward:
  - System specification.
  - Knowledge of system.
- Automatic calculation of all place invariants is possible, but:
  - Rather complex.
  - Results are difficult to represent in a form which is useful for analysis.
- Interactive calculation is much more suitable:
  - The *user* proposes *some* of the weights.
  - The tool calculates the remaining weights

**Coloured Petri Nets** 27/05/2005

or reports an *inconsistency*.

## How to use invariants

- Ordinary programming languages:
  - No one would construct a *large program* and then expect *afterwards* to be able to *calculate invariants*.
  - Instead *invariants* are constructed *together* with the program.
- For *CP-nets* we should do the same:
  - During the system specification and modelling the designer gets a lot of *knowledge* about the system.
  - Some of this knowledge can easily be *formulated* as place *invariants*.
  - The *invariants* can be *checked* and in this way errors can be found.
- The *errors* can easily be *located*. <sup>Coloured Petri Nets
  27/05/2005</sup>

143

## We use invariants to prove behavioural properties of the system

- As an example, let us prove that the data base system cannot deadlock.
  - Let a *reachable marking* be given.
  - We will then prove that at least one transition is enabled.

All invariants are fulfilled
#### M(Waiting) + M (Inactive) + M(Performing) = DBM

### All data base managers must be:









Mes(Waiting) = M(Sent) + M (Received) + M(Acknowledged)

## The message buffers sent by d(i) must be:





Mes(Waiting) = M(Sent) + M (Received) + M(Acknowledged)



M(Unused) + M(Sent) + M (Received) + M(Acknowl) = MES





# We have now investigated all possible reachable markings

- For each of them we have used the invariants to prove that at least one transition is enabled.
- Hence, we conclude that the data base system cannot deadlock.

# Invariants - pro/contra

- Invariants can be used to verify *large systems*.
  - *No complexity* problems.
  - It is possible to *combine* invariants from *individual modules*.
- Invariants can be used to verify a system without fixing the system parameters such as the number of sites in the data base system.
- The main drawback is that the user needs some ingenuity to:
  - Construct invariants. This can be supported by computer tools – interactive process.
  - Use invariants. This can also be supported by

Coloured Petri Nets COMPUTER TOOLS – interactive process. 27/05/2005



157

## More information on CP-nets

- The following web-pages contain a lot of information about CP-nets and their tools: http://www.daimi.au.dk/CPnets/
- Introduction to CP-nets, including a number of detailed examples.
- Manual for CPN Tools.
  - The tool is *free of charge* also for commercial companies.
- A list of more than 50 published papers describing different *industrial applications* of CP-nets and the CPN tools.
- Details of a 3-volume *CPN text book*.

Coloured Petri Nets 27/05/2005

159