Distributed Source Coding for Sensor Data Model and Estimation of Cluster Head Errors using Bayesian and K-Near Neighborhood Classifiers in Deployment of Dense Wireless Sensor Networks

Vasanth Iyer*, S.S. Iyengar[†], N. Balakrishnan[‡], Vir. Phoha[§] and G. Rama Murthy*

*International Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad, India - 500 032

[†]Chairman, Department of Computer Science, Louisiana State University, LA 70803, USA

[‡]Associate Director, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560012, India

[§]Louisiana Tech University, Center for Secure Cyberspace, LA 70803, USA

vasanth@research.iiit.ac.in;iyengar@csc.lsu.edu;balki@serc.iisc.ernet.in;phoha@coes.latech.edu;rammurthy@iiit.ac.in

Abstract-The lifetime calculation of large dense sensor networks with fixed energy resources and the remaining residual energy have shown that for a constant energy resource in a sensor network the fault rate at the cluster head is network size invariant when using the network layer with no MAC losses. Even after increasing the battery capacities in the nodes the total lifetime does not increase after a max limit of 8 times. As this is a serious limitation lots of research has been done at the MAC layer which allows to adapt to the specific connectivity, traffic and channel polling needs for sensor networks. There have been lots of MAC protocols which allow to control the channel polling of new radios which are available to sensor nodes to communicate. This further reduces the communication overhead by idling and sleep scheduling thus extending the lifetime of the monitoring application. We address the two issues which effects the distributed characteristics and performance of connected MAC nodes. (1) To determine the theoretical minimum rate based on joint coding for a correlated data source at the singlehop, (2a) to estimate cluster head errors using Bayesian rule for routing using persistence clustering when node densities are the same and stored using prior probability at the network layer, (2b) to estimate the upper bound of routing errors when using passive clustering were the node densities at the multi-hop MACS are unknown and not stored at the multi-hop nodes a priori. In this paper we evaluate many MAC based sensor network protocols and study the effects on sensor network lifetime. A renewable energy MAC routing protocol is designed when the probabilities of active nodes are not known a priori. From theoretical derivations we show that for a Bayesian rule with known class densities of ω_1 , ω_2 with expected error P^* is bounded by max error rate of $P = 2P^*$ for single-hop. We study the effects of energy losses using cross-layer simulation of large sensor network MACS setup, the error rate which effect finding sufficient node densities to have reliable multi-hop communications due to unknown node densities. The simulation results show that even though the lifetime is comparable the expected Bayesian posterior probability error bound is close or higher than $P > 2P^*$.

Index Terms—Data Relibility, Baysian Error Classifier, K-Near Neighborhood Classifiers, Protocol latency, Dense WSN.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sensor networks are deployed in a dense configuration due to its limited radio range and fixed non renewable energy resources due to computational/networking characteristics of sensor networks. To collaboratively use the limited resources distributed algorithms, select a single node which transmits serially using its UART pre-processed sensed data information using many local resources. As the cost of radio transmission is much more than local sensing, the sensor network uses two different topologies to address the energy cost at the crosslayer stack. The network layers uses the upper layers assuming MAC layer abstraction to optimally pick cluster heads by using a fixed probability density function (pdf) of a network resource at the node, such as, remaining battery energy. This type of pdf is power-aware as it uses a collaborative function to minimize over use of network resources thus avoiding pre-mature node failures.

The MAC layer uses a k-neighborhood distance algorithm to find other nodes within its own limited range and uses a multi-hop schedule to the specific data transmitting node. This scheduling allows multi-hop nodes to use sleep cycles and lower their energy consumption while idling. These multihop algorithms use low-power listening and use a preamble to wake up nodes, sleep cycles when the transmitter is completely off and traffic based preamble to synchronize nodes to receive the data payload.

If $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ are the data values of a parameter such as residual energy, observed values by the sensors, as large scale sensor deployment are a dense deployment as the reading are correlated only an average θ_1 needs to be transmitted. As the clustering is based on the network layer which optimizes on radio range and not the sensing region it always is approximated and corrected using some training samples using less number of bits to be transmitted, this is the fundamental design based on power-aware data model. In the MAC layer which polls the channel to check for any activity while receiving and during transmitting to avoid collision and uses best effort QoS for the messages to be forwarded. The data sensing nodes are single hop while the forwarding nodes are multi-hop nodes. The data values which are forwarded are discrete and updated according to some trend in the data. Some measured values may be



(a) Persistence clustering when CH probabilities are known a priori (b) Passive clustering when CH probabilities are unknown (c) Error Bounds of persistence & passive clustering Fig. 1. Estimation of CH selection error and MAC layer routing using Bayesian distributed rule

changing more quickly than others creating different traffic patterns that are data driven. The multi-hop nodes do not have any sensors and act like routers which uses best effort QoS and constantly adapts its polling depending on the data trend, this is fundamental to the design of polling the channel that are based on on-demand traffic. Model implementation assumes $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ are always transmitted when changes happen and typically it is re-transmitted at a constant rate of 10 minute intervals keeping the channel polling of a set of nodes to guarantee the QoS.

This paper builds from previous work [2,9] and extends the two dimensional Bayesian model to optimize on power-aware routing algorithms in representing sensor network. The routing algorithms are implemented at the network layer which have known density of nodes by using prior selection and at MAC layer which have unknown node densities due to limited transmission range. The Bayesian classifiers which are specific to the routing topology uses features to maximize the lifetime of the sensor network and minimize on sensor faults. This Bayesian classifier helps in predicting the theoretical fault rate bounds by knowing the node densities validated also through real simulation. In section III the cross-layer energy model is analyzed and the fault rate is plotted against the Bayesian probability. In this model only the residual energy, this is calculated from a fixed network energy resources. In section IV the protocol over heads are analyzed, they are more lossy due to radio idle; channel overhearing; and collision losses. The theoretical bounds are calculated for fixed lifetime resources, clustering, fault rates for Bayesian classifiers for known node densities(network layer) and unknown node densities(MAC layer). In section V variations of the LEACH algorithm is discussed in terms of power-aware routing, which helps to compare lifetime metrics more easily in different cross-layer simulators. In section VI a detailed simulation results for cross-layer and MAC protocols is discussed and its error bounds are compared with the Bayesian classifiers. Figure 1 illustrates the Bayesian classifier for pdf based clustering and multi-hop based passive clustering.

II. DATA MODELS

A. Probability model

Where d is the distance to transmit between sensors i to sensor j. We designed the compression algorithm for a large distributed sensor network with a desired channel rate, a fixed length code to represent real sensed values at the encoder using (c,k,d), Where c is the code, k is the length and d is the distance from the average P_{Max} cluster heads. This technique which allow using less number of bits to represent the newly encoded data is sent to the decoder by sharing the expected local value at both ends. As a rule, compression algorithms use a probability model based on the entropy of the source. Ivengar [2,9] defined a Bayesian fault-tolerant algorithm in sensor network using an abstract sensor which can be tamely faulty and widely faulty. For larger sensor network deployment, this model helps predict the error bounds in terms of the varying sensing values. In this paper we adapt the Bayesian rule to select cluster heads for known node density and extend it to find the upper bounds related to unknown densities for solving the optimal routing problem at the network layer in sensor networks. The latter is more relevant for renewable energy resources [3]. Where the number of active sensors connected to the network is not known, at any given time.

Entropy of general sensing source is a sequence of length n from the source and is given by

$$H(S) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} G_n, where \qquad (2.1)$$

$$G_n = -\sum \sum ... \sum P(X_1 = i_1, X_2 = i_2...X_n = i_n)$$
$$\log P(X_1 = i_1, X_2 = i_2...X_n = i_n)$$

In sensors where each element in the sequence is independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.), with this statistical model, we can modify the entropy of the first order to equation (2.1)

$$H(S) = -\sum P(X_1) \log P(X_1)$$
 (2.2)

B. Aggregation model

If the cluster size in n, given this density of clustering, the entropy of data aggregation[8] from equation 2.2. In a lossless mode if there are no faults in the sensor network, we can show that the highest probability given by P_{Max} is ambiguous if its frequency is $\leq \frac{n}{2}$ otherwise it can be determined by a local function.

C. Local P_{max} functions

Provides a way to determine the local filter value from the probability distribution used by compression algorithms.

$$|Pmax| = \begin{cases} local, & \text{for } Pmax \ge \frac{n}{2} \\ global, & \text{for } Pmax < \frac{n}{2} \end{cases}$$



(a) Distributed sensor networks were $\omega_1 = \omega_2$

(b) Passive Clustering were $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$

Fig. 2. (a) Distributed sensor networks with LEACH single-hop nodes (b) Passive clustering algorithms with multi-hop nodes

D. Slepian & Wolf theorem

The Slepian-Wolf rate region for two arbitrarily correlated sources x and y is bounded by the following inequalities, this theorem can be adapted using equation (2.2)

$$R_x \ge H\left(\frac{x}{y}\right), R_y \ge H\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) and R_x + R_y \ge H(x, y)$$
(2.3)

If the correlated sources are differing by a few bits, the possible number of codewords can be represented as 2^m where m= no. faulty bits [10]. In our case m=2 as the parameters are distributed whilst collected locally at the cluster head.

III. COMPRESSION RATE

A. Distributed source coding with side information

In sensors networks several measured values are sensed in a distributed manner and these are aggregated according to the users query. The goal of all the encoder is analogous to the previous section where it uses cosets. Equations (3.1-3.4)illustrates the bin formation to reduce the overall bits needed for transmission. Considering the case of distributed sensing application, the encoder is further designed with a machine learnable redundancy range which is specific to each and every application. This mutually redundant measured range is correlated with sensors which are in the same wireless range and connected to a parent. This information, also called side information is shared with the decoder. Owing to side information, even lesser number of bits are needed to represent the changing values coming from each cluster heads transmitting to the joint decoder. Encoder and decoder have access to the side information Y. which is correlated to X and can be represented by the equation 2.3. According to the Slepian-Wolf Theorem, established in 1971, that the number of bits needed by using the theorem is lesser, as shown in figure 4(b), than the total entropy for both the two arbitrarily correlated sources H(x), H(y).

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 00 \tag{3.1}$$

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right| = 10 \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 01 \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 11 \tag{3.4}$$

IV. FAULT RATE

Large deployment of sensor network that use an efficient distributed algorithm to select cluster heads which allows to extend the lifetime [4] to function without faults. The fault rate of such an algorithm can be defined as the residual percentage of good sensor when the network incurs faults due to resource drain. This is typically referred to as the sensor networks residual energy, if the fault rate is higher the cluster head selection algorithm is less optimal. The two dimensional simulation model is expressed in figure 2 for distributed and passive cluster based routing. In the paper the fault rate is measured for both the cases for algorithm complexity, multihop dependency, MAC layer losses and Bit error rates.

A. Estimate of the sensed value for known densities

Theorem IV.1 A power law is any polynomial relationship that exhibits the property of scale invariance. The most common power laws relate two variables and have the form. $PowerLaw = f(x) = ax^2 + o(x)^2$

Proof: The function f(x) is represented as function of transmission distance from the cluster heads to a sink location, f(d), where d is the distance to transmit between sensors *i* to a multihop sensor *j* towards the sink in increasing distance, from this we get the Power rule based on the distance *d* of nearest sensor to the farthest away sensor, substituting in the above theorem IV.1 and summing up the total energy required for all transmissions within one meter, two meters, three meters, four meters and extending up to (d - 1) meters to a progressive

Randomized CH Selection Scheme					
Generate a random number $x \in (0, \% CHs)$					
Calculate $g_i(x) = P(\omega_i \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} \omega_i) P(\omega_i)}{\sum p(x \omega_j) P(\omega_j)} if x = rand(x)$					
if $x \leq \%$ then $CH_i = \overline{x}$, $else \ CH_i = false$					
Threshold CH Selection Scheme					
Obtain the sensors residual energy S_j for all N_i neighbors of node i					
Calculate if $\theta \leq S_j$					
$g_i(x) = P(\omega_i \ \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} \ \omega_i) P(\omega_i)}{\sum p(x \ \omega_i) P(\omega_i)} $ if $x \le \theta$					
$ifx \ge \theta$ then $CH_i = x$, $else CH_i = false$					
Optimal Zone based caching Scheme					
Divide the sensors into three zones					
Use the middle zone as CHs caching					
Calculate $g_i(x) = P(\omega_i \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} \omega_i) P(\omega_i)}{\sum p(x \omega_j) P(\omega_j)}$ if $x = Constant$					
Use optimal settings from the above two cases for % of CHs with use count					

Fig. 3. Cluster head selection for power-aware routing in large sensor networks

sequence in equation (4.1).

$$PowerLaw = 1^{2} + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + 4^{2} + \dots + (d-1)^{2} + d^{2}$$
(4.1)

To sum up the total energy consumption we can write it in the form of Power Law equation (4.1.1)

$$PowerLaw = f(x) = ax^{2} + o(x)^{2}$$
 (4.1.1)

Substituting d-distance for x and k number of bits transmitted, we equate as in equation (4.1.1).

$$PowerLaw = f(d) = kd^2 + o(d)^2$$
 (4.1.2)

 $o(d)^2$ is an asymptotically small function of d, Taking Log both sides of equation (4.1.2),

$$\log(f(d)) = 2\log d + \log k \tag{4.1.3}$$

Notice that the expression in equation (4.1.2) has the form of a linear relationship with slope k, and scaling the argument induces a linear shift of the function, and leaves both the form and slope k unchanged. Plotting to the log scale.

Theorem IV.2 Properties of power laws - Scale invariance: The main property of power laws that makes them interesting is their scale invariance. Given a relation $f(x) = ax^k$ or, indeed any homogeneous polynomial, scaling the argument x by a constant factor causes only a proportionate scaling of the function itself. From the equation (4.2.1) we can infer that the property is scale invariant even with clustering c nodes in a given radius k.

Proof:

$$f(d) = kd^2 + o(d^2)$$
(4.2)

$$f(cd) = k(cd^2) = c^k f(d) \alpha f(d)$$
 (4.2.1)

From the equation (4.2.1) we can infer that the property is scale invariant even with clustering c nodes in a given radius k. This is validated from the simulation results [5] obtained in Fig 4 (a) which show optimal results(minimum loading per node[5]) when clustering is $\leq 20\%$ as expected in theorem 1. It is true, however, that the sensor.

Theorem IV.3 Theorem 3. *CH Error Rate - Local: If* two classes have the same covariance, where $p(x|w_j) \approx N(\mu, \Sigma), j = 1, 2$.

If prior probabilities are equal, the Bayes model mimimizes according to the input distribution and the error rate is given by

$$P(e) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[2\pi]}} \int_{r/2}^{\infty} e^{-u^2/2} du \qquad (4.2.2)$$

Proof: The simulated algorithms such LEACH use the knowledge that the nodes which are sensing are correlated and have known densities such as cluster size and radio range. The sensed values are i.i.d distributed and their variance $\neq 0$. The underlying model can use the error rate for a cluster as $\frac{1}{c}$ and estimated value θ which is random value of the nodes residual power in this model and is defined by

$$r^{2} = \int_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\mu - \mu}{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{2}$$
(4.2.3)

where r^2 is the radio range between nodes calculated by using Mahalanobis distance.

Theorem IV.4 Theorem 4. Multi-hop Error rate - Global: When $P(\omega_m|x)$ is close to unity, the nearest-neighbor selection is almost always the same as Bayes selection. This is, when the minimum probability of error is small close to 1/c, so that all classes are essentially equally likely, the selection made by the nearest-neighbor rule and the Bayes rule are rarely the same, but the probability of error is approximately 1 - 1/c for both and is bounded by.

$$P \le 2P^* \tag{4.3}$$

Proof: We recall that the Bayes decision rule minimizes P(e) by minimizing P(e||x) for every x. If $P^*(e||x)$ be the minimum possible value of P(e||x), and P^* be the minimum possible value of P(e), then

$$P^{*}(e) = 1 - P(\omega_{m}|\mathbf{x})$$
(4.3.1)





(c) Lifetime Data faults with protocol communications

(d) Lifetime Data faults with MAC losses

Fig. 4. Simulation results of fault analysis of WSN routing and data aggregation[8] algorithms

and from the previous theorem

$$P^* = \int P^*(e|\mathbf{x})p(x)dx \qquad (4.3.2)$$

$$P \le 2P^* \tag{4.3.3}$$

. Convergence of the Nearest Neighbor.

V. ANALYSIS OF FAULT RATE CH-ALGORITHMS

A. Estimate of the sensed value for known densities

The simulated routing algorithms such LEACH-S [7], LEACH-E [5] and CRF [5] as described in the above table use the knowledge that the nodes which are sensing are correlated and have known densities such as cluster size and radio range. The sensed values are i.i.d distributed and their variance $\neq 0$. The underlying model uses different ways to select the cluster heads to minimize the error rate. When the sensor faults happen due to fixed energy resources at the cluster head the total energy unused at the end of its lifetime is the residual rate[1], the routing algorithms tries to minimize this error criteria. As this model uses the network layer as shown in figure (2a) and the only dependant variable is the fixed lifetime model [4]. The complexity of the algorithm can be defined by using the standard implementation of the LEACH distributed algorithm and its power-aware variations, see table (figure 3).

$$\bigcirc g(x) = f(x) : 0 \le f(x) \le cg(x) \tag{5.1}$$

$$\Omega g(x) = f(x) : 0 \le cg(x) \le f(x) \tag{5.2}$$

$$\Theta g(x) = f(x) : 0 \le c_1 g(x) \le f(x) \le c_2 g(x)$$
 (5.3)

Complexity of the routing algorithms for LEACH is shown in equation (5.1), LEACH-E equation (5.2) and CRF equation (5.3). In the next section we will use only the lower layer such as power-ware MAC and estimate the multi-hop routing errors. In this case the model is not dependant on the fixed energy resources and only dependant on k-neighborhood rule it uses to find its multi-hop nodes as shown in figure (2b). As the node probability are not known a priori the error rates are much higher than the persistence clustering.

VI. SIMULATION

A. Results from the network layer

Simulation models large number of nodes and calculates the lifetime when sensor faults are more likely to happen, the table

shows number of cluster heads and the fault rate for distributed clustering and passive clustering in figure 4. Simulation results confirms the fault rate is network size invariant and converges to the optimal values derived in theorem 1 and 2.

 TABLE I

 Summary of notations for analysis of routing fault-rate

Symbols	Definition					
Ν	Total number of deployed nodes					
n	Number of nodes in the cluster					
μ	Density of the class					
P_{MAX}	Bayesian class rule					
R_x, R_y	y Entropy of correlated sources					
R,r	Radio Range					
Р	K-neighborhood fault probability					
P*	Bayesian probability					
ω	Bayesian classes					
S	Data source node					
D	Destination node					
θ	Nodes residual energy					
CH	Cluster head					
$P(\omega_i \ \mathbf{x})$	Conditional probability					
$P(\mathbf{x} \ \omega_i)$	Class conditional probability					

B. Results from the MAC layer

When node densities are not know in advance due to node failures or unscheduled polling and other characteristics of sensor due to its dependence in fixed resources. The problem due to this is for data transmitting nodes needs to find a near neighbor in a deterministic way by which it can build a passive cluster to multi-hop its data. This uses minimal clustering overhead as it does not use the upper layers during communication synchronization. The behavior of the k-Nearest-Neighbor rule will be directed by in our simulation a two-dimensional node distribution of $n \ge 100$ where node density has one or less neighbors. The unconditional average probability of error occuring will be found over all nodes positioned at coordinates specified by x:

$$P^*(e) = \int P(e|x)p(x)dx \tag{6.1}$$

The convergence of the nearest neighbor for distributed clustering and passive clustering are derived, the distributed clus-

$\mathcal{M}_{4C}A_BSTR_{4}CTI_{OV}$ Cross Layer Simulation Bayesian fault rate Fixed Energy Model	$\omega_1=\omega_2$,as in fig 1(a)	$\omega_1 eq \omega_2,$ as in fig 1(b)	Assumptions	MAC LOSSES Protocol Simulations Bayesian fault rate Renewable Energy Model	$\omega_1=\omega_2$,as in fig I(a)	$\omega_1 eq \omega_2$, as in fig 1(b)	Assumptions
LEACH	0.27%	0.41%	2^m where m=2 faulty bits	SPEED-CSMA	0.3%	0.1%	BER, GlomoSIM radio
Fixed Energy	$\omega \le 20\%$	$P = 2P^*$	Optimal config, Theorem 1,2	SPEED-BMAC	0.1%	0.1%	BER, GlomoSIM radio
Node failures(renewable lifetime)	х	$P \leq 2P^*$	Errors due unbalanced nodes	Renewable Energy Model	х	$P \ge 2P^*$	Node failures. Theorem 3,4
				Channel Error Model	$P = P^*$	$P \ge 2P^*$	Data link errors. Theorem 3,4

Fig. 5. Simulation test-bed for power-aware lifetime models

tering case is

$$P = P^* \tag{6.2}$$

For passive clustering is given by

$$P = 2P^* \tag{6.3}$$

As shown in figure 2(a) where lower bound for LEACH-S when it becomes faulty and the remaining residual energy using the cross-layer simulator is P(e)=0.27% which is the fault rate. In the case of passive clustering when node density p=0.1 or using the k-neighborhood rule as shown in 2(b) the node densities are unknown in this case due to high likely-hood of faults. The protocol simulation results are show in table (figure 5) that the upper bound has error rate of P(e)=0.41\% which converges to the proof derived in theorem 3 and theorem 4 and the upper bound in figure 1(c).

C. Results from the MAC layer using a propagation model

In the previous case MAC abstraction is used which does not take into account the propagation losses and protocol retries at the MAC level. To simulate the wireless channel we use GlomoSIM [3] bit error rate(BER) simulator and implement the routing algorithms for multi-hop cases. The routing algorithm implemented is SPEED which as shown in table (figure 5) is a geographic routing algorithm which uses two dimensional coordinate space to calculate the path from the node coordinates. Many runs into the protocol simulation suggest that the radio characterization for CSMA [3] and B-MAC are comparable, figure 4(c) when the node densities are known. The radio characterization for CSMA [3] is prone to faults when compared to B-MAC, figure 4(d) when using in multi-hop modes where the node densities are unknown. The protocol performance results show that the data packets received during useful lifetime is 3X times better in B-MAC when compared to CSMA and error rates are $P > 2P^*$ higher than the theoretical Bayesian limit of $P = 2P^*$ as derived in theorem 3 and theorem 4.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper we study the upcoming domain of renewable energy resources and implement a new testbed to improve network protocol latency and errors due to deployment in synchronization in multi-hop nodes. It uses a Bayesian model to predict the theoretical bounds and compares with real simulations of cross-layer and protocol implementations using power-ware MACS. To have a reliable sensor network for MAC's using renewable energy resources, from the simulation results, the implemented protocols adapts well for denser configuration as the base configuration has errors greater than the theoretical limits.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of the authors (SSI) would like to thank this project for part funding from Post Katrina funds (PKSFI) from Board of Regents and also from DoD-DEPSCoR-Office of Naval Research Program. Special to thanks Dr. Winston Seah, A*STAR labs, Singapore for simulation setup, and the anonymous reviewers whose suggestions and feedback significantly improved our work.

REFERENCES

- Iyer, V., Garimella, R. M., and Srinivas, M. B. 2008. Min Loading Max Reusability Fusion Classifiers for Sensor Data Model. In Proceedings of the 2008 Second international Conference on Sensor Technologies and Applications - Volume 00 (August 25 - 31, 2008). SENSOR-COMM. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, 480-485. DOI= http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SENSORCOMM.2008.82
- [2] Bhaskar Krishnamachari, Member, IEEE, and Sitharama Iyengar, Fellow, IEEE.,Distributed Bayesian Algorithms for Fault-Tolerant Event Region Detection in Wireless Sensor Networks, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTERS, VOL. 53, NO. 3, MARCH 2004.
- [3] Vasanth Iyer, S.S. Iyengar, N. Balakrishnan, Vir. Phoha, M.B. Srinivas. FARMS: Fusionable Ambient Renewable MACS. To appear in Sensor Application Symposium(SAS-2009),17th-19th Feb, New Orleans, USA.
- [4] Training Data Compression Algorithms and Reliability in Large Wireless Sensor Networks. Vasanth Iyer, Garimella Rammurthy and M.B. Srinivas. International Journal On Smart Sensing and Intelligent Systems, VOL. 1, NO. 4, Dec.'08 ISSN 1178-5608.
- [5] Environmental measurement OS for a tiny CRF-STACK used in Wireless Network Vasanth Iyer, G.Rama Murthy and M.B. Srinivas- Sensors & Transducers Journal-April 2008, ISSN 1726-5479 2006 by IFSA.
- [6] Thomas Clouqueur, Parameswaran Ramanathan, Kewal K. Saluja , Kuang-Ching Wang, Value-Fusion versus Decision-Fusion for Faulttolerance in Collaborative Target Detection in Sensor Networks, FUSION 2001 conference.
- [7] Wendi Heinzelman, Hari Balakrishnan, Anantha Chandrakasan, LEACH (Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy) MIT, 2001.
- [8] Vasanth Iyer, S.S. Iyengar, G. Rama Murthy and M.B. Srinivas. 'Multihop Sleep Scheduling and Local Data Link Aggregation Dependant Qos in Modeling and simulation of Power-aware Wireless Sensor Networks' to appear in IWCMC 2009 Wireless Sensor Networks Symposium (to be held June 21-24,2009, in Leipzig, Germany).
- [9] S. Sitharama Iyengar and Krishnendu Chakrabarty. Distributed sensor networksa review of recent research. Department of Computer Science, LSU, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA. Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, The University of Tennessee, USA.
- [10] Vasanth Iyer, Garimella Rammurthy and M.B. Srinivas. Entropy based Variable Rate Compression for Low-bandwidth Multi-Media Streams. The International Conference on Distributed Frameworks & Applications Oct, 2008, Penang Maylasia (DFmA 2008).