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Abstract.
Region representation as a quadtree data structure is a rich field in computer science with many different approaches. Forests of quadtrees offer space savings over regular quadtrees by concentrating the vital information [4, 5, 6]. They scavenge unused and unneeded space (i.e., node containing no information). This paper investigates several properties of forests of quadtrees which can be used to design manipulation algorithms for forest-quadtree data structure. In addition, the paper discusses the space saving and shows how the basic operations that can be performed on a quadtree can also be done on the more space efficient representation (a forest of quadtrees).

Keywords and phrases: quadtree, forest, data structure, image processing, algorithm.
CR Categories: 3.63, 8.2.

1. Introduction.

Efficient data structures for region representation are important for use in manipulating pictorial information. Recent research [1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9] on quadtrees has produced several interesting results in different areas of image processing. A good tracing of the history of the evolution of quadtrees is provided by Klinger and Dyer [12]. Much work has been done on the quadtree properties and algorithms for manipulations and translations have been derived by Samet [9, 10], Dyer [1] and others [2, 5, 6]. For overviews of related research on image data structures see [4, 11, 12]. In 1981, methods of refining the quadtree were proposed by Jones and Iyengar [5]. The new refinements were called virtual quadtrees. Virtual quadtrees include both compact quadtrees and forests of quadtrees. The paper by Jones and Iyengar [4] further illustrates the usefulness of a forest of quadtrees as an efficient representation for binary images.

This paper is concerned with the properties of forests of quadtrees and their applications for picture processing and discusses development of forest manipulation algorithms.
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Before the results are described, we begin by giving definitions and summary of previous results [4, 5, 6] in the next section of our paper.

2. Definitions and summary of previous results.

Pictures: A picture or raster is defined to be a grid of $2^n \times 2^n$ colored points (pixels), the color representing properties associated with the points.

Quadtrees: A quadtree is a tree structure with the restriction that any node must have either four offspring (or children or descendents) or none.

Quadtrees for pictorial representation. In a quadtree representing a picture, the root represents the whole picture. Its offspring represent each one quadrant in the order Northwest(NW), Northeast(NE), Southwest(SW), and Southeast(SE). These four children are numbered from 0 to 3. In turn, their offspring each represents a subquadrant of the four quadrants and so on until the maximum number of subdivisions have been made as determined by the resolution of the image. In addition, if the children of a node are all the same color, they are deleted and their parent receives the information that was common to the four children. They are simply not needed as they carry redundant information. Figures 1a and 1b show a typical picture of a simple region and its quadtree.
representation. In this quadtree, parents hold the information "GRAY" and leaves are either "BLACK" or "WHITE", representing the presence or absence of color respectively.

**Node in a quadtree.** Nodes in the quadtree are required to hold color information and the 4 pointers to their children. In addition, in the forest transformation an additional datum is needed, the "TYPE" field. A typical node in a quadtree, then, appears in storage as shown in Figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Node of a quadtree.

When additional manipulation is done, such as roping of the quadtree, more fields may be added.

In our quadtrees, the COLOR field holds either "BLACK", "WHITE", or "GRAY", the TYPE field "GOOD" or "BAD", and the other fields are pointers holding either all nulls or all pointer values (addresses to subtrees).

**Virtual quadtrees.** A virtual quadtree is any structure which simulates a quadtree in the sense that we can

1. determine the color of any node in the quadtree;
2. find the offspring in any direction of any node in the quadtree;
3. find the father of any node in the quadtree.

For a broader treatment on this, see [4, 6].

**Forest of quadtrees.** Let $T$ be a quadtree. The quadtree $T$ is represented by forest, $F(T)$, of quadtrees consisting of a list of triples of the form $(P, L, K)$ and a collection of quadtrees where

a) each triple $(P, L, K)$ in the list consists of the coordinates, $(L, K)$, of a node in $T$, and a pointer, $P$, to a quadtree in the collection isomorphic to the subtree rooted at position $(L, K)$ in $T$;

b) if $(L, K)$ and $(M, N)$ are coordinates of nodes recorded in $F$, then neither node is the root of a subtree containing the other;

c) every BLACK leaf in $T$ is represented by a leaf in $F(T)$.

For example, Figure 3 contains a forest that represents the quadtree of Figure 1b. The idea of the algorithms for reducing a tree $T$ to a forest of quadtrees $F(T)$ that represents the tree, can be described informally as follows.

First, a "labelling" algorithm is executed. This algorithm traverses the quadtrees depth-first and labels each node "GOOD" and "BAD", depending
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Upon its importance in information storage. A GOOD node is either a "BLACK" leaf or a parent of two or more GOOD children. All other nodes are labeled "BAD".

The second phase is a forest creation algorithm. This algorithm retraverses the quadtree, deleting BAD nodes from the top down until it encounters a GOOD node. This node now becomes the root of a subtree in the forest, and its corresponding triple (i.e., level, magic number and pointer to its root) is stored in the characteristic arrays. If this node is a "BLACK" leaf, it becomes a "BLACK" leaf in the forest, which is actually a subtree consisting of but one node.

**The path code.** If the children of a node are numbered so that 0 represents NW, 1 represents NE, 2 represents SW, and 3 represents SE, then a path from the root to another node on level $L$ in a quadtree can be represented by a quaternary number with $L - 1$ digits (the root has level number 1). For instance, the quaternary number denoting the path from the root to the leaf marked X on figure 1b has the value 201 (which is 33 decimal) since the directions taken from
the root to X are SW, NW, and NE. This number is called the path code and forms the K coordinate in the triple representing a subtree in a forest.

If the path code for a node on level L is $M_L$, then the path code of a child is

\[ M_{L+1} = 4M_L + D \]

where $D$ is the digit representing the chosen direction. This follows directly from the definition of the path code.

In [4] the following theorem was proved:

**Theorem 1.** The maximum number of trees in a forest derived from a quadtree that represents a square of dimensions $2^k \times 2^k$ is $4^k - 1$, which is one-fourth the area of the square.

Having reviewed the definitions and results of previous work, we now present the new results on the structural properties of forests of quadtrees.

3. Structural properties of forests of quadtrees.

The following theorems and their corollaries are useful in the development of forest manipulation algorithms.

**Theorem 2.** Given a node with level $L_F$ and path code $M_F$, any arbitrary node with level $L_N > L_F$ and path code $M_N$ can be a descendent only if the following inequality holds:

\[ M_F \times 4^{L_N - L_F} \leq M_N < (M_F + 1) \times 4^{L_N - L_F}. \]

**Proof.** By always taking the minimum value of $D$ in (1), we obtain the following recurrence relation:

\[ M_{L+1} = 4M_L \quad (M_L = M_F \text{ for } L = L_F) \]

and by always taking the maximum $D$ we have:

\[ M_{L+1} = 4M_L + 3 \quad (M_L = M_F \text{ for } L = L_F) \]

The first equation is homogeneous and has the solution:

\[ M_L = M_F \times 4^{L - L_F}. \]

A particular solution to the second equation is:
so the solution is:

\( M_L = (M_F + 1)4^{L_F - L} - 1. \)

Since \( M_N \) must lie between the two values given by (2) and (3) the theorem is proved.

**Corollary 1.** Given a node with level \( L_F \) and path code \( M_F \), an arbitrary node with level \( L_N < L_F \) and path code \( M_N \) can be an ancestor if and only if the following inequality holds:

\( M_N \times 4^{L_F - L} \leq M_F < (M_N + 1) \times 4^{L_F - L}. \)

**Proof.** If a node \( N \) is an ancestor of a node \( F \), then node \( F \) must be a descendant of node \( N \).

**Corollary 2.** Given a node with level \( L_F \) and path code \( M_F \), an arbitrary node with level \( L_N \leq L_F \) and path code \( M_N \) can be an uncle of the node (the child of an ancestor) if and only if the following inequality holds:

\[ 4 \times \left\lfloor \frac{M_F}{4^{L_F - L_N + 1}} \right\rfloor \leq M_N \leq 4 \times \left\lfloor \frac{M_F}{4^{L_F - L_N + 1}} \right\rfloor + 3. \]

**Proof.** The father of node \( F \) \((L_F, M_F)\) is

\((L_F - 1, \lfloor M_F/4 \rfloor).\)

Since for all \( x > 0 \), \( \lfloor x/4 \rfloor \leq \lfloor x/2 \rfloor \), an ancestor on level \( L_N - 1 \) is

\((L_{ANC}, M_{ANC}) = (L_N - 1, \lfloor M_F/4^{L_F - L_N + 1} \rfloor).\)

Three of the children to this node are uncles for node \( F \) and the fourth is an ancestor. But this means that an uncle's path code must lie in the range (cf. formula (1))

\[ 4M_{ANC} + 0 \leq M \leq 4M_{ANC} + 3 \]

which proves the corollary.

**Corollary 3.** Given a subtree with root \( R \) \((L_R, M_R)\), an arbitrary node \( N \) \((L_N, M_N)\), where \( L_N \geq L_R \), is situated in an adjacent subtree --- to the left if:
\[ M_N < M_R \cdot 4^{L_N-L_R} \]

--- to the right is:

\[ M_N \geq (M_R+1)4^{L_N-L_R}. \]

**Proof.** Since the path codes of nodes on the same level \( L \) in a quadtree increase towards the right from 0 to \( 4^{L-1}-1 \), theorem 2 shows that an upper bound of path codes for subtrees to the left of node \( R \) is given by:

\[ M_N < (M_R-1+1)4^{L_N-L_R} \]

which reduces to the following inequality

\[ M_N < M_R \cdot 4^{L_N-L_R} \]

while a lower bound of the path codes for subtrees to the right of node \( R \) is given by:

\[ M_N \geq (M_R+1)4^{L_N-L_R}. \]

**Theorem 3.** Given two nodes with levels \( L_A \) and \( L_B \) and path codes \( M_A \) and \( M_B \) and a common ancestor with level \( L_{\text{ANC}} \) and path code \( M_{\text{ANC}} \), the shortest path between the two nodes (in edges) is:

\[ d_{SP} = (L_A + L_B) - 2L_{\text{ANC}}. \]

**Proof.** It is evident upon examination of a quadtree or subtree of a forest that the distance of the shortest path between any two nodes is the sum of the distances to a common ancestor from each one. This is simply the expression:

\[ (L_A - L_{\text{ANC}}) + (L_B - L_{\text{ANC}}). \]


Preliminary manipulation algorithms include traversal, search, and the reverse of forest creation, reconstruction. Due to the complexity of a hypothetical traversal algorithm, at least to produce results equatable with those of a quadtree traversal algorithm, it was decided to forego this less useful manipulation for the more useful search and reconstruction algorithms. These are now presented.

The search algorithm, entitled “FSEARCH” searches for a node in a forest by
coordinates, and upon the location of the node, subsequently returns its pointer if it is real, or its color if it is virtual.

The input to the process is a forest of quadtrees in pointer-based storage, three arrays, $\text{ROOT}(n)$, $\text{LR}(n)$, and $\text{MN}(n)$, each holding the $n$th subtree root pointer, level, and path code, respectively, the number of subtrees $N$ in the forest, and finally the level $L$ and magic number $M$ of the node to be searched for.

The program works by performing a binary search on the list of subtrees until a subtree is located whose root has the wanted node as an ancestor, descendant, or "uncle". The node is then located and characterized.

There is no output except the possible error message. A pointer and the ancestor flag $\text{AF}$ are returned.

The reconstruction algorithm, entitled "RECONS", reverses the creation of the forest.

The algorithm works by creating all ancestors of the first subtree and their tentative descendants (white nodes). Then each subtree thereafter is connected, via a chain of ancestors, to a common ancestor with the first subtree.

The input to the algorithm is the same as that of "FSEARCH", except that only $N$, the number of subtrees in the forest, is needed in addition to the forest and its characteristic arrays. Also, the algorithm assumes existence of a zero element in the $\text{LR}$ and $\text{MN}$ arrays initialized to zero ($\text{LR}(0) = 0$, $\text{MN}(0) = 0$).

There is no output and $Q$, the quadtree root pointer, is the only thing returned. We shall now present the algorithm in a pascal like syntax.

**FSEARCH**:

```pascal
procedure FSEARCH (l, m, n: integer; p: ptr; af: boolean);
This procedure searches for a given node with level $l$ and path code $m$. The number of subtrees is given as $n$, $t$ is the subtree index, $s$ and $b$ determine $t$ according to binary search rules, and $d$ is the horizontal distance between nodes. The first condition tests to see if $t$ has gone out of the list of subtrees, the second tests for descendants, the third for ancestors, the fourth for uncles, the fifth for virtual white nodes, and the sixth and seventh for direction to search for the node. The last condition is a blatant error in the forest. The $af$ is true for a gray ancestor found and $p$ is null for a virtual node, and the pointer to a real node.

begin
  $s := 1$;
  $b := n$;
  $t := \text{trunc}((s + b)/2)$;
  $d := 0$;
  while true do
    begin
```
if $t < 1$ or $t > n$ then do
begin
  af := false;
  $p := \text{null}$;
  return;
end;
else if $(mn(t) \times 4^{**}(l - lr(t)) \leq m)$ and $(m < (mn(t) + 1) \times 4^{**}(l - lr(t)))$ and $l \geq lr(t)$ then do
begin
  af := false;
  $p := \text{travers}(l, m, \text{root}(t))$;
  return;
end;
else if $(m \times 4^{**}(lr(t) - 1) \leq mn(t))$ and $(mn(t) < (m + 1) \times 4^{**}(lr(t) - l))$ then do
begin
  af := true;
  $p := \text{null}$;
  return;
end;
else if $(\text{trunc}(mn(t))/4^{**}(lr(t) - l + 1)) \times 4 \leq m)$ and $(m \leq \text{trunc}(mn(t))/4^{**}(lr(t) - l + 1) \times 4 + 3)$ then do
begin
  if $d = 0$ then do
begin
    $d := m - \text{trunc}(mn(t)/4^{**}(lr(t) - l))$;
    $t := t + d/\text{abs}(d)$;
  end;
  else $t := t + d/\text{abs}(d)$;
end;
else if $d <> 0$ then do
begin
  af := false;
  $p := \text{null}$;
  return;
end;
else if $m < mn(t) \times 4^{**}(l - lr(t))$ then do
begin
  $b := t - 1$;
  if $s > b$ then do
begin
  af := false;
  $p := \text{null}$;
end;
RECONS:

procedure RECONS (n: integer, q: ptr);
This procedure reconstructs a normal quadtree from a forest of quadtrees, returning q as the new quadtree root pointer. Each subtree is indexed in turn by t and the ancestors allocated and linked upward as shown in figure 4(a) and 4(b), the former representing the first iteration, and the latter representing a possible later iteration. The variable m is the new ancestor's path code and d is the horizontal path code distance. The main if-stmt. checks to see whether the subtree and its ancestors should be connected to the left adjacent subtree and ancestors of that subtree. Pointers p1, p2, p3 point to white nodes which serve to provide the ancestor with four proper descendants. Pointer p points to the new ancestor.

begin
for t := 1 to n do
begin
  h = root(t);
  for l := lr(t) - 1 downto 1 do
  begin
    m = trunc(mn(t)/4**(lr(t) - l));
    if m ≠ (mn(t) + 1)*4**(l - lr(t)) then do
    begin
      s := t + 1;
      if s > b then do
      begin
        af := false;
        p := null;
        return;
      end;
      t := trunc((s + b)/2);
    end;
    else do
    begin
      writeln(*** severe fatal error – bad forest’);
      stop;
    end;
  end;
end;
end FSEARCH;

return;
end;
t := trunc((s + b)/2);
end;
else if m ≥ (mn(t) + 1)*4**(l - lr(t)) then do
begin
  s := t + 1;
  if s > b then do
  begin
    af := false;
    p := null;
    return;
  end;
t := trunc((s + b)/2);
else do
begin
  writeln(*** severe fatal error – bad forest’);
  stop;
end;
end;
end;
end FSEARCH;
\[ d = m - \text{trunc}(mn(t)/4**((lr(t) - l) + 1)) \times 4; \]
\[
\text{if } t = 1 \text{ or not } ((m*4**(lr(t-1)-l) \leq mn(t-1)) \text{ and } (mn(t-1) < (m+1)*4**(lr(t-1)-l))) \text{ then do} \]
\begin{verbatim}
begin
  new(p);
  if \( l = 1 \) then \( q = p \);
  new(p1);
  new(p2);
  new(p3);
  p1.color := 'white';
  p1.nw := null;
  p1.ne := null;
  p1.sw := null;
  p1.se := null;
  p2.color := 'white';
  p2.nw := null;
  p2.ne := null;
  p2.sw := null;
  p2.se := null;
  p3.color := 'white';
  p3.nw := null;
  p3.ne := null;
  p3.sw := null;
  p3.se := null;
  if \( d = 0 \) then do
    begin
      p.nw := h;
      p.ne := p1;
      p.sw := p2;
      p.se := p3;
    end;
  else if \( d = 1 \) then do
    begin
      p.nw := p1;
      p.ne := h;
      p.sw := p2;
      p.se := p3;
    end;
  else if \( d = 2 \) then do
    begin
      p.nw := p1;
      p.ne := p2;
      p.sw := h;
    end;
end;
\end{verbatim}
\begin{verbatim}

p.se := p3;
end;
else if d = 3 then do
    begin
        p.nw := p1;
        p.ne := p2;
        p.sw := p3;
        p.se := h;
    end;
else do
    begin
        writeln('*** error in reconstruction ***');
        stop;
        end;
    p.color := 'gray';
    h := p;
end;
else do
    begin
        p := travers(l, m, q);
        if d = 0 then p2 := p.nw;
        else if d = 1 then p2 := p.ne;
        else if d = 2 then p2 := p.sw;
        else if d = 3 then p2 := p.se;
        if p2.color <> 'white' then do
            begin
                writeln('*** ERROR- BAD FOREST ***');
                stop;
            end;
        if d = 0 then do
            begin
                dispose(p.nw);
                p.nw := h;
            end;
        else if d = 1 then do
            begin
                dispose(p.ne);
                p.ne := h;
            end;
        else if d = 2 then do
            begin
                dispose(p.sw);
                p.sw := h;
            end;
        else if d = 3 then do
            begin
                dispose(p.se);
                p.se := h;
            end;
    end;
end;
\end{verbatim}
end;
else if \( d = 3 \) then do
begin
    dispose(p.se);
    p.se = h;
end;
exit for;
end;
end;
end RECONS;

5. Discussion of results.

Having presented the properties and algorithms of forests, we now state our conclusions from the work done so far.

Theorem 2 and its corollaries were used in the development of the manipulation algorithms for a forest of quadtrees.

Theorem 3 can be used to find the distance in edges between two nodes in a quadtree provided we know the level of a common ancestor of both nodes.

In this paper, we have tested both algorithms, finding the results very good in terms of time and space efficiency. The algorithms were tested in several different programming languages. The complexity of both algorithms is linear in the
number of subtrees present, which is related to the size and resolution of the image. Empirical results concerning the relative time and space usage of the forest of quadtrees and an even newer structure developed by the authors versus a normal quadtree are reported in [6].

The newer structure, called a hybrid quadtree, utilizes not only the method of the forest of quadtrees, but also draws from the "metanode" format of the compact quadtree and upon further techniques. The integrity of the quadtree is preserved. For more of this see [15].

6. Summary and conclusions.

The forest data structure seems to offer general benefits over the normal quadtree as the worst case could be where both structures are equal. Even if the additional space taken by the characteristic arrays is considered, the benefits of using a forest of quadtrees can still be realized. Recent work by the authors has yielded an even newer structure called a hybrid quadtree [15] which combines the advantages of the forest of quadtrees and the compact quadtree, with a resulting much higher space and time efficiency. This is very significant and research is still in progress.

In previous work by Iyengar and Jones [4, 5] and in the recent publication by Gargantini [13], other possible structures to provide space-savings over the quadtrees were proposed. The primary advantage of forests over other possible structures appears to be its ease of use stemming from its similarity and compatibility with the widely-used quadtree structure used today. This is something that must be considered in any pictorial application of a data structure.

Further work needs to be done in the manner of advanced manipulation of forests, such as roping, and more algorithms to equate the forest with normal quadtrees in ease of application.
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