Rubric

Senior Project

Assessment of Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science of the

School of Computing and Information Sciences Florida International University

The School of Computing and Information Sciences evaluates the Senior Projects of its graduating seniors for the purpose of assessing the level of attainment of the Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science program.

Your responses to this survey will be used solely for the purpose of assessing the Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science program of the School of Computing and Information Sciences at FIU. This survey is expressly NOT for assessment of student performance in the SCIS Senior Project course for assignment of letter grade, nor for assessment of the instructor(s).

Rating Instructions

For each program outcome, you are provided with a check-list of 7 or more criteria that evidence attainment of that outcome. Please check all criteria that are presented in this project. You may include additional criteria that are not explicitly listed; if so, please record the additional criteria in the spaces provided. Unless noted otherwise, the number of checked criteria, up to a maximum of 5, should be recorded as your rating of attainment of that outcome evidenced in the project.

Project Title	Math Instant Messenger for Visually Impaired People
Semester &	Year Fall 2010
Moderator (F Services, Inc	Faculty / Industry Sponsor): Patricia McDermott-Wells, President, Mega-Data
Evaluators:	Patricia McDermott-Wells, President, Mega-Data Services, Inc.
-	

<u>Student Outcome</u> (a): Demonstrate proficiency in the foundation areas of Computer Science including mathematics, discrete structures, logic and the theory of algorithms

X	Project incorporates elements of mathematical reasoning or proof
	Project utilizes elements of set theory, Boolean algebras
	Project utilizes statistical procedures to summarize test data
	Project utilizes statistical measures of system behavior or performance
X_	Project design utilizes finite state machines or automata to model system behavior
	Project utilizes some graph theoretic knowledge
	Project utilizes some techniques of numerical analysis
	OTHER: Demonstrates good understanding of integration of disparate program modules wide variety of data types and representations
_X	OTHER: Project uses statistical analysis to evaluate costs of alternative solutions

<u>Student Outcome</u> (b): *Demonstrate proficiency in various areas of Computer Science including data structures and algorithms, concepts of programming languages and computer systems.*

<u>Data Structures & Algorithms</u>	
x	Project utilizes an advanced data structure, e.g. balanced search tree, hash table
	Project utilizes some graph algorithm, e.g. shortest path, minimum spanning tree
	Project documents runtime analysis of selected algorithms
Concepts of Programming Languages	
	Project utilizes some functional programming language (e.g., ML, Lisp)
	Project utilizes aspects of context-free grammars
X	Project demonstrates familiarity with design issues such as scoping rules or dynamic type checking
<u>Comp</u>	<u>uter Systems (Database)</u>
x_	Project utilizes an appropriately selected database system
x_	Project design utilizes conceptual and/or relational schema
	Project demonstrates understanding of physical database design
<u>Comp</u>	uter Systems (OS)
	Project implementation utilizes knowledge of memory management
X	Project implementation utilizes knowledge of process synchronization
X	Project documents analysis of tradeoffs in selection of system characteristics
X_ repres	OTHER: This project required working with complex context-dependent language sentations and aspects of translation between language representations OTHER:

engineering techniques.	
X	Project demonstrates knowledge of the Software Development Life Cycle
_X	Project deliverables include Project Specification
_X	Project deliverables include Feasibility Study and/or Project Plan
_X	Project deliverables include Requirements Documentation
_X	Project deliverables include Design Documentation
_X	Project documents testing and/or evaluation of the implementation
_X	Project incorporates system walkthroughs
X limitat	OTHER: Excellent ability to work within the bounds of and work around unexpected ions of the tools being integrated, yet still deliver a final product on time
	OTHER.

Student Outcome (c): Demonstrate proficiency in problem solving and application of software

proficiency in at least one other.	
_X	Project is implemented using an appropriate high level language
_X	Project implementation is reasonably efficient rather than "brute force"
X	Project implementation is modular and/or re-usable
_X	Project implementation uses a modern API or Tool-Kit
_X	Project implementation utilizes recursion
_X	Project implementation utilizes some advanced features, e.g. polymorphism
_X	A project sub-system or module utilizes an appropriate programming language other than the primary implementation language, e.g. SQL, ML, assembly language
_X MathT	OTHER: Project required integrating Liblouis, ASCII representation of Nemeth Braille, type
	OTHER.

Student Outcome (d): Demonstrate mastery of at least one modern programming language and

Student Outcome (e): Demonstrate understanding of the social and ethical concerns of the	
practicing computer scientist	
X_	Project documents sources and references
X_	Project identifies and addresses any relevant social issues
X_	Project identifies and addresses any relevant ethical issues
X_	Project identifies and addresses relevant legal issues
	Project identifies and addresses any relevant privacy issues
X_	Project documents anticipated impact on users/clients
X_	Project documents and addresses any anticipated technology impact issues
	OTHER:
	OTHER:

__X_ Project completion evidences equitable participation by team members __X_ Project presentation(s) included all team members __X_ Project team activity is documented __X_ Project team set out and followed a schedule for timely completion ___ Project team negotiated consensus when needed __X_ Team members roles were clearly defined and executed __X_ Team members shared responsibility for success and failure OTHER: OTHER:

Student Outcome (f): *Demonstrate the ability to work cooperatively in teams*

Program Outcome (g): Demonstrate effective communication skills	
X_	Presentations described the essential features of the project
X_	Presentations utilized good quality slides and presentation aids
X_	Presenters utilized their time effectively
X_	Presenters spoke directly to the audience
X_	Technical features were communicated clearly
X_	Project artifacts clearly document all project features
X_	Project reports are well organized and written
	OTHER:
	OTHER:

<u>Program Outcome</u> (j): *Have experience with contemporary environments and tools necessary for the practice of computing*

X_	Project utilized contemporary design tools
X_	Project implementation utilized a modern IDE(s)
X_	Project utilized appropriate validation/testing tools
x_	Project was demonstrated using appropriate presentation tools
x_	Project utilized appropriate project management tools (e.g., MS Project)
	Project utilizes appropriate version control/document sharing tools
x_	Project documents consideration of trade-offs in selection of tools
	OTHER:
	OTHER:

ABET Student Outcome

The program must enable students to attain, by the time of graduation: (j) An ability to apply mathematical foundations, algorithmic principles, and computer science theory in the modeling and design of computer-based systems in a way that demonstrates comprehension of the tradeoffs involved in design choices. [CS]

<u>Please comment on how this project "demonstrates comprehension of the tradeoffs involved in design choices":</u>

Students were required to understand the market penetration of the operating system platform and the accessibility tools used by VI students. They had to evaluate several Instant Messaging products for possible integration, again staying within the acceptable platform targets. They explored the flexibility and capabilities of each IM product to evaluate tradeoffs between the products' ability to support enhancements, add-ins, and integration with other tools. They also had to factor in time-to-learn and time-to-implementation tradeoffs in their decisions.

In addition to the technical tradeoff aspects, they also had to evaluate the social impact tradeoffs of their design choices, opting to produce a product that would be readily available and within financial reach of a VI individual, as opposed to a corporate or institutional-level solution.