Rubric (Spring 2012)

Senior Project

Assessment of Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science of the

School of Computing and Information Sciences Florida International University

The School of Computing and Information Sciences evaluates the Senior Projects of its graduating seniors for the purpose of assessing the level of attainment of the Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science program.

Your responses to this survey will be used solely for the purpose of assessing the Student Outcomes of the BS in Computer Science program of the School of Computing and Information Sciences at FIU. This survey is expressly NOT for assessment of student performance in the SCIS Senior Project course for assignment of letter grade, nor for assessment of the instructor(s).

Rating Instructions

For each program outcome, you are provided with a check-list of 7 or more criteria that evidence attainment of that outcome. Please check all criteria that are presented in this project. You may include additional criteria that are not explicitly listed; if so, please record the additional criteria in the spaces provided. Unless noted otherwise, the number of checked criteria, up to a maximum of 5, should be recorded as your rating of attainment of that outcome evidenced in the project.

Project Title	Panther Quick Response System	
Semester & Y	YearSpring 2012	
Moderator (F	Faculty / Industry Sponsor):	
Evaluators: _	Steve Luis	
_		

Stude	nt Outcome (a): Demonstrate proficiency in the foundation areas of Computer Science					
includ	including discrete structures, logic and the theory of algorithms					
	Project incorporates elements of mathematical reasoning or proof (Lemma, Theorem, Propositional Logic, First Order Logic, Mathematical Induction)					
	Project utilizes elements of discrete mathematics (Set Theory, Boolean Algebras, Combinatorics, Graph Theory)					
x_	Project utilizes some statistical procedure(s) to represent or summarize test data (Mean, Standard Deviation, Stem Plot/Histogram, Box Plot/Percentile-Graph)					
	Project utilizes some statistical measure(s) of system behavior or performance (Probability Distributions, Confidence Intervals, Hypothesis Testing)					
X_	Project design utilizes finite state diagrams to model system behavior					
	Project utilizes some aspect(s) of formal computer science (Automata, Turing Machines, Recursive Function Theory, Recursive Unsolvability)					
	Project utilizes some technique(s) of numerical analysis (Error Estimation, Interpolation, Numerical Calculus, Linear Systems, Matrix Algebra)					
	OTHER:					
	OTHER:					

<u>Student Outcome</u> (b): *Demonstrate proficiency in various areas of Computer Science including data structures and algorithms, concepts of programming languages and computer systems.*

<u>Data s</u>	Structures & Algorithms						
	Project utilizes an advanced data structure, (search tree, hash table, priority queue, etc.						
	Project utilizes some graph algorithm, (shortest path, minimum spanning tree, etc.)						
x_	Project documents runtime analysis of selected algorithms						
<u>Conce</u>	pts of Programming Languages						
	Project utilizes knowledge of programming language syntax (Context-Free Grammars, Parse Trees, Ambiguity, Recursive Descent)						
	Project utilizes knowledge of programming language semantics (Natural Semantics, Interpreters, Expressions, L- and R- Value, Environments)						
X_	Project demonstrates familiarity with design issues such as scoping rules, dynamic type checking, static type checking						
<u>Comp</u>	uter Systems (Database)						
x_	Project utilizes or designs an appropriate database management system						
x_	Project utilizes conceptual and/or relational schema						
x_	Project utilizes a database query language such as SQL						
<u>Comp</u>	uter Systems (Operating Systems)						
	Project implementation utilizes knowledge of memory management						
	Project implementation utilizes knowledge of process synchronization						
	Project documents analysis of tradeoffs in selection of system characteristics						
	OTHER:						
	OTHER:						

Stude	nt Outcome (c): Demonstrate proficiency in problem solving and application of software							
<u>engine</u>	pering techniques.							
	Project demonstrates knowledge of the Software Development Life Cycle							
X_	Project deliverables include Project Specification							
X_	Project deliverables include Feasibility Study and/or Project Plan							
X_	Project deliverables include Requirements Documentation							
X_	Project deliverables include Design Documentation							
x_	Project documents testing and/or evaluation of the implementation							
	Project incorporates system walkthroughs							
	OTHER:							
	OTHER:							

proficiency in at least one other.					
X_	Project is implemented using an appropriate high level language				
	Project implementation is reasonably efficient rather than "brute force"				
X_	Project implementation is modular and/or re-usable				
X_	Project implementation uses a modern API or Tool-Kit				
	Project implementation utilizes recursion				
X_	Project implementation utilizes some advanced features, e.g. polymorphism				
X_	A project sub-system or module utilizes an appropriate programming language other than the primary implementation language, e.g. SQL, ML, assembly language				
	OTHER:				
	OTHER:				

Student Outcome (d): Demonstrate mastery of at least one modern programming language and

Student Outcome (e): Demonstrate understanding of the social and ethical concerns of the

Student Outcome (f): *Demonstrate the ability to work cooperatively in teams* To be completed by an evaluator Project presentation(s) included all team members equally Project team activity is appropriately and adequately documented To be completed from the data obtained from team members' peer evaluations Each team member rates each of the other members of their team individually on each criterion listed below on a scale of 1 to 5. The mean of all ratings for each criterion is recorded. The rubric item is checked only if the project (mean) score >= 4.0 for each of the 2 criteria. Team members' roles were clearly defined and executed Criterion Mean Score 1: Team members had clear understanding of expectations 2: Team members maximized the use of their individual skill sets Project team set out and followed a schedule for timely completion Criterion Mean Score 3: Team members complied with mechanisms to track progress 4: Team members completed assignments in a timely fashion Project team negotiated consensus when needed Criterion Mean Score 5: Team members showed respect for other team members opinions 6: Team members were able to negotiate and compromise Project completion evidences equitable participation by team members Criterion Mean Score 7: Team members contributed ideas and viewpoints 8: Team members did their fair share of the work Team members shared responsibility for success and failure Criterion Mean Score 9: Team members actively sought & shared information from each other

10: Team members were adaptable to changing requirements

Program Outcome (g): Demonstrate effective communication skills

<u>Writte</u>	n presentation								
_X	Completeness	Project reports document all essential project features							
_X	Organization Project reports are well organized and written								
<u>Oral Pı</u>	resentation I	For each rubric	iten	n, check on	ly if the	mean .	score >= 3	2. 0	
	Domain Knowledge: All students are knowledgeable of all project features								
	SCORE→	4: Answered fu	lly	3: Answer	ed but	2: Answered		1:	Unable to
	CRITERIA	with elaboration	-	no elabor	ation	basic questions		answer questions	
	Presenter 1	Presenter 2	2 Presenter 3 Presen		nter 4 Presente		r 5 mean		
		2 : No	ot always 1: Very po		: Very poor				
	CRITERIA	interesting flow	N .	logical seq	uence	eusy	to follow	3	equencing
	Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Pre	esenter 3	Presen	ter 4	Presenter	· 5	mean
X_				tation aids I: None or excessive					
	Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Pre	esenter 3	Presen	ter 4	Presenter	5	mean
x	X Elocution: Presenters spoke clearly, audibly SCORE→ 4: Clear, audible, 3: Clear, most of CRITERIA all audience hear audience hear enunciation		• •	r 1 : Audience unable to hear					
	Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Pre	esenter 3	Presen	ter 4	Presenter	· 5	mean
	Audience Contact: Presenters spoke directly to a SCORE → 4: Constant eye 3: Occasionally CRITERIA contact reads from notes		onally	2 : Mo.	stly reads n notes	contact			
	Presenter 1	Presenter 2	Pre	esenter 3	Presen	ter 4	Presenter	5	mean

<u>Program Outcome</u> (h): *Have experience with contemporary environments and tools necessary for the practice of computing*

<u>Competency Rating Scale</u> **5**: Expert, **4**: Advanced, **3**: Competent, **2**: Intermediate, **1**: Novice **Check-mark is earned if the average team competency rating is 2 or higher.**

Presentations use co	ontemporary presentation and der	nonstration tools
<u>Domain</u>	Software / Tool	Competency
Presentation		
Demonstration		
Project artifacts are	developed using modern documer	nt preparation tools
Domain	Software / Tool	Competency
Document Editing		
Diagramming		
Implementation use	s a modern programming language	e(s) and contemporary IDE or OS
<u>Domain</u>	<u>Software / Tool</u>	<u>Competency</u>
Programming Langua	age	
IDE or OS		
	t and/or version control software	are employed
<u>Domain</u>	<u>Software / Tool</u>	<u>Competency</u>
Project Management	t	
Design phase utilize	s modeling software	
<u>Domain</u>	Software / Tool	Competency
UML Modeling		
Implementation incl	udes a contemporary database ma	anagement system
Domain	Software / Tool	Competency
DBMS		
Implementation incl	udes web-based programming (se	rver, web-page)
<u>Domain</u>	Software / Tool	Competency
Web Server		
Implementation is v	alidated using contemporary validated	ation/testing software
<u>Domain</u>	Software / Tool	Competency
Testing		
Other:		
Domain	Software / Tool	Competency

ABET Student Outcome

The program must enable students to attain, by the time of graduation: (j) An ability to apply mathematical foundations, algorithmic principles, and computer science theory in the modeling and design of computer-based systems in a way that demonstrates comprehension of the tradeoffs involved in design choices. [CS]

<u>Please indicate how this project "demonstrates comprehension of the tradeoffs involved in design choices":</u>

The team had to evaluate the limitations of QR codes, and the capabilities and availability of cloud based services to develop a secure information architecture capable of delivering different distributed data on a single mobile platform.